## 1 TOWNSHIP OF SPARTA 1 PLANNING BOARD 2 3 IN THE MATTER OF: : TRANSCRIPT DIAMOND CHIP REALTY, LLC,: OF #689, 33 DEMAREST ROAD, : PROCEEDINGS 4 5 BLOCK 12008, LOT 23 6 7 Wednesday, April 6, 2022 Municipal Building 8 65 Main Street Sparta, New Jersey 9 Commencing at 7:05 p.m. 10 11 BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT: ANDREW REINA, Chairperson 12 TED GALL 13 GEORGE PARKER PETER SKEI 14 CHRISTINE OUINN MICHAEL SYLVESTER 15 JOSHUA HERTZBERG JERRY MURPHY JOHN KOLLAR 16 JOE TUSCANO 17 ALSO PRESENT: 18 DIANE KATZENSTEIN, Secretary 19 DAVID SIMMONS, PE KATHERINE SARMAD, PP 20 21 ALISON GULINO, CCR, RPR CERTIFIED COURT REPORTER 22 23 QUICK COURT REPORTING, LLC 47 BRIAN ROAD 24 WEST CALDWELL, NEW JERSEY 07006 (973) 618-0872 25 office@quickreporters.com

## 2 1 APPEARANCES: 2 3 THOMAS COLLINS, ESQ. Counsel for the Board 4 GIORDANO, HALLERAN & CIESLA, P.C. 5 125 Half Mile Road Suite 300 6 Red Bank, New Jersey 07701 By: STEVE P. GOUIN, ESQ. 7 (lbalchen@ghclaw.com) Counsel for the Applicant 8 9 KENNEDYS 120 Mountain View Boulevard Basking Ridge, New Jersey 07920 10 BY: ANAND DASH, ESQ. Counsel for the Objectors 11 12 13 FARUQI & FARUQI 1617 JFK Boulevard, Suite 1550 14 Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103 BY: NEILL CLARK, ESQ. 15 Counsel for the Objectors 16 17 LAVERY, SELVAGGI, ABROMITIS & COHEN, P.C. 1001 County Road 517 18 Hackettstown, New Jersey 07840 BY: LAWRENCE COHEN, ESQ. 19 Counsel for Michael Burns and Georgianna Burns 20 21 22 23 24 25

agenda this evening is Blue [sic] Diamond Chip Realty so this is -- application is for preliminary site plan approval for a warehouse located at 33 Demarest Road. The property currently consists of a large concrete mixing and cement facility in the economic development zone. This meeting has been carried forward from March 2, 2022.

So I'm, both, encouraged and proud of the fact that we have so many participants in the room tonight and I really appreciate everybody taking the time out of their busy schedules. You know, this is --you know, we recognize and we share that there is a lot of strong interest around this project and we know that there are a lot of critical questions, both, from the community as well at the Board so we -- we share that with you so we want to thank you, everybody, for coming out, both, all of you that were able to make it in -- into this building as well as those are in the vestibule.

I also recognize that this is an emotionally-charged, sort of, project. There is a lot of -- there's a lot of discussion around this. I ask everybody tonight: Please be respectful, courteous and let's be professional as we're going through it.

So before we give -- begin testimony, I want to remind all the participants a little bit in terms of how this process works for the Planning Board because it is different than other statutory Boards including the Town Council.

2.2

So typically, the process, by nature, is a bit more judicial in the sense that this is a -- you will have witnesses come forward, present testimony.

We will cross-examine those with our questions. We will have attorneys that are representing Sparta residents cross-examine them as well as Board members and we'll also be engaging in feedback from our professionals as well so it is a little bit different in that sense. The public will -- and, absolutely, we want everybody to be heard. This is an extremely important part. I want to make sure everybody understands it clearly.

So historically and traditionally, with any of these proceedings, after witness testimony is done, again, because this is more of a judiciary-based process, all -- all questions, comments, everything would be reserved for the end, all public commentary and everything.

We're actually going to switch things up a little bit tonight. You know, we've heard from the

community. We understand the importance of your voice and your ability to be part of this so we're actually shifting up which is a bit unprecedented for is in terms of, you know, asking for and having the community component before we conclude the evidence portion of it.

tonight, we're going to open up the Board -- we're going to open up the meeting to public comments or questions, specific questions for the testimony that's been provided. Again, keep in mind, this is more of a judicial-based setting. With that in mind, we're going to ask please; these should be direct questions as a result of testimony. This is not for opinion statements. This is not for, you know, speeches for or against this specific project. This is for specific questions to make sure that we're addressing the entire public and all the questions that you've got.

We're in receipt of the questions that have been presented at a previous meeting. We've forwarded those to the applicant. We suspect the majority of those are going to be covered because the vast majority of those were covering, both, the traffic impact study as well as the environmental study so I think you will get most of those addresses this

evening. If not, we'll also continue to address those throughout tonight during the public portion.

So I wanted to share that with everybody.

It's not lost on us, your impact, your voices, so we appreciate everybody coming out.

So, with that, Tom, do we want to start with swearing in the witness? We'll start with -- Mr. Ford was the last witness to give testimony when we left off.

MR. DASH: Mr. Chairman, we have a legal question.

My name is Anand, last name is Dash, D-A-S-H. I'm an attorney. I'm representing myself and I'm here with my co-citizen, Neill Clark.

CHAIRPERSON REINA: Mr. Dash, can you just provide your -- your address for the record?

MR. DASH: Of course. 17 Ponderosa

Trail, Sparta, New Jersey 07871.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$  COLLINS: So, Mr. Dash, please come forward to the -- to the bar and --

MR. CLARK: It's also Neill Clark. I'll spell it, N-E-I-L-L, C-L-A-R-K, 224 Spring Brook Trail, Sparta.

MR. COLLINS: So, gentlemen, please go ahead and address the Board and then, if Mr. Cohen

wants to, then Mr. Cohen and then Mr. Gouin, the applicant's attorney, about your letter of a couple days ago and explain your position to the Board without presenting witnesses and then, if Mr. Clark wants to add something, he -- he may. These are legal -- legal arguments only at this stage and then Mr. Cohen, if he wants to, and then Mr. Gouin and then the Board will consider what to do so please go ahead, gentlemen.

MR. DASH: Thank you, Mr. Collins.

CHAIRPERSON REINA: Please go ahead,

gentlemen.

MR. DASH: Appreciate it.

Mr. Chairman, thank you. Good evening.

Thank you, members of the public, for attending. Your participation is very important and we appreciate it.

This -- this is not an emotional argument. This is an argument based solely in law, a pure legal argument. This -- the fundamental tenets of our Municipal Land Use Law provide certain numerated powers for this Planning Board to hear. Those powers are set forth in NJSA 40:55-D:770C and 770D. Those powers of the Planning Board do not include an application to hear an interpretation before -- of an ordinance.

I would like the record to -- to reflect, on April 4, 2022, myself and Mr. Clark filed, with the Zoning Board of Adjustment, a pending application for interpretation for interpretation of the use of this application.

When this matter first began, arguably, this Board had -- this Planning Board had jurisdiction. Our case law and the statute provides, once an objector has filed an application for interpretation with the Zoning Board that addresses a fundamental threshold issue as to the nature of the use applied for, in fairness to the applicant, in fairness to the members of the public and in fairness to this Board, the time and the legal propriety, this Board cannot proceed on this application tonight. We've made that in a respectful manner before this Board in our written submission. We've -- we're making it here again.

The case law is clear that we also have a right to make a direct appeal to the Superior Court on this jurisdictional issue. This is not a substance issue. We're not going to the merits of this application. We are dealing with a jurisdiction that is paramount before this Board can proceed.

We are asking, in accordance with the law, <u>Dipietro vs. the Township of Planning [sic] --</u>

Wayne Township Planning Board and the progeny of cases that follow, that this Board no longer has the jurisdiction; however, it will potentially retain that jurisdiction after a determination has been made by the Zoning Board of Adjustment. The <u>Dipietro</u> case is found at 367NJ Super 161 2004. It's a published Appellate Division ruling that provides for facts that are on point with this case.

2.2

In Dipietro, the objectors sought to have the Planning Board decide the issue of interpretation as to the nature of the use. The court struck that objection and said the proper form for that application is the Zoning Board. We have followed that instruction to the T. We are guided not by passion, not by the -- the adversariness here but we are guided by the New Jersey Appellate Division which controls this case, respectfully. Okay? We have made that application to the Zoning Board out of deference, while we could have also gone straight to the Superior Court.

what we would like, because this is a -should this township be a government of its people, by
its people and for its people, we have respectfully
made that application before our Zoning Board so it can
make a threshold determination as to whether this is a
permitted use under the ED zone or for a conditionally

permitted use in -- in the ED zone which would change the considerations so my most salient point is this:

Any action taken by this Board after I have finished discussing will be a nullity if this application has not been transferred to the Zoning Board and I cite;

Dipietro is stating good law in the State of New Jersey. It's unassailable.

I respectfully ask that this application be adjourned and I entered the evidence into the record, both written and oral.

(Applause)

2.2

MR. CLARK: I join the arguments made by Mr. Dash and.

MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC: Can you all speak up, please?

MR. CLARK: Sure.

I join the arguments made by my colleague, Mr. Dash. I think that what the Board does after this is simply going to be a waste of time. Your best recourse here is to let the Zoning Board rule and then you can proceed, the applicant make its argument about what use it has, but any testimony that you take today is a waste of everyone's time because what will happen is that you're without jurisdiction. If you're without jurisdiction, at any hearing, any testimony

that you take has no basis and so all that will happen is that you'll get a court order, eventually, that says any testimony that you take is null and void and you'll have to do this all over again and we'd like to save you time, the applicant time and the people that are here's time and so respect to everyone here.

I know most people on here are volunteers. They put a lot of time and effort into it and I'd hate to see you have to come back and do this all over again and that's what we're trying to avoid and so the best thing that you can do here tonight is make a motion to adjourn further proceeding on this application until such time as a Zoning Board has heard our application for interpretation. That's the best recourse for this Board tonight. It saves you time in the future and it avoids witnesses having to come back and do the exact same testimony that they may give here or they may have to change the entire nature of their testimony depending on what the Zoning Board said.

So for efficiency sake, for -- to give a fair hearing to the applicant and for a fair hearing for the public, the best course tonight is a motion to adjourn pending decision by the Zoning Board.

Thank you.

(Applause)

2.2

MR. DASH: And I just add -- I just add -- thank you.

It's not a discretionary decision. This is a legal question that this Board does not have the power to -- to address as Mr. -- Mr. Collins is a -- I respect him. He's been around a long time and -- and my -- my teacher, Will -- Bill Cox, who wrote the book in land use. I've had this discussion with him many times. We have to separate passion, politics from the law. We are all here to respect the law and I'm here out of respect for the applicant.

I appreciate they spent time and money here but we are lawyers. Mr. Collins is a lawyer and, Mr. Gouin, I respect as a member of the Bar, a colleague. Let's do this the right way. Let's respect the law. It's not my words. It's the judges' who have written the case in <a href="Dipietro vs. the Township of">Dipietro vs. the Township of</a>
Planning -- <a href="Wayne Township Planning Board">Wayne Township Planning Board</a>. This isn't a plea for passion at this point. This is a respect for the law.

CHAIRPERSON REINA: Mr. Dash, Mr. Clark, thank you very much for -- for sharing that. I appreciate it and I fully share your sentiments with regards to, you know, the purpose behind this is to ensure that we do this by the law, factually-based and

we want to save everybody time, money, aggravation. 1 2 You know, they're all -- we're all volunteers here so 3 everybody is doing the best they can. 4 I guess, Mr. Collins, I would defer to 5 you in terms of the legal argument that's been presented by Mr. Dash and by the other attorneys. A, 6 7 we need --8 MR. COLLINS: Yeah. We need to hear from Mr. Cohen and Mr. Gouin and then we'll rule on it. 9 MR. COHEN: By the way, do you have a 10 11 microphone for the public? CHAIRPERSON REINA: Yeah, we normally do 12 13 have a microphone out there. 14 MS. QUINN: So maybe we can move -- can 15 we move that microphone to the middle so that everyone that's in the lobby and everyone that's in the room can 16 17 hear the testimony. 18 Thank you. 19 MR. COHEN: Okay. Thank you. 20 Can you hear me better now? CHAIRPERSON REINA: We can. Thank you, 21 22 Mr. Cohen. 23 MR. COHEN: All right. Okay. I think this is fine. 24 25 Good evening. Laurence Cohen, C-O-H-E-N,

as indicated in the previous meeting, I represent

Michael Burns and Georgianna Burns who own property at

95 Demarest which adjoins this site and they're,

obviously, significantly impacted by this -- this

application.

I did review Mr. Dash's letter. I did some research of my own and I agree with Mr. Dash.

This is an issue which requires an interpretation. As you know, your ordinance is kind of close with reference to definition as to what is a "warehouse" and what is a "truck terminal." There's a portion of this property, this proposed development, that I think, certainly, is -- fits into a "truck terminal" definition but it's not for me to say. It's not for Mr. Gouin to say. It's not for Mr. Collins to say.

It's a determination that has to be made by the Board of Adjustment which is the only Board that has the power, especially, in this particular situation because you have two Boards and not a single Land Use Board but that power is granted to the Board of Adjustment.

Quite frankly, if I were representing the applicant at this application, I would not object to a stay for a shorter period of time to determine what -- what the interpretation of the Board of Adjustment is rather than going through all of this, going to the

16 Board of Adjustment or going to court to decide that 1 2 issue. 3 So I think it's in everyone's best 4 interest to grant the application made by Mr. Dash. Obviously, I can't speak for the applicant but I think 5 6 it would be in the applicant's best interest. I'm sure 7 the applicant doesn't want to do that but this is a 8 legal issue that has to be decided and, obviously, you are going to get an opinion from Mr. Colins and we'll move on from there. 10 11 So thank you. 12 CHAIRPERSON REINA: Thank you, Mr. Cohen. 13 (Applause) 14 CHAIRPERSON REINA: Mr. Gouin? 15 MR. GOUIN: Sure. Steve Gouin; Giordano, Halleran & Ciesla, 16 17 on behalf of the applicant, Diamond Chip Realty, LLC. 18 I'm sorry. Can you hear me? Does this work? 19 20 CHAIRPERSON REINA: Better yes. 21 MR. COLLINS: Can everybody hear me? 2.2 MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC: Yes. Thank you.

24 So Steve Gouin for the applicant. 25 So I understand. I heard the arguments.

MR. GOUIN: Sorry about that.

23

I understand everything Mr. Dash, Mr. Cohen, Mr. Clark said. Certainly, we have respect for the law here as well.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Frankly, I have to say that, you know, when it comes to questions of law, certainly, reasonable minds can differ and we certainly -- I certainly differ with Mr. Clark, Mr. Dash's and Mr. Cohen's interpretation of the law on this issue.

I think the facts of Dipietro, which is the case that Mr. Dash has cited, quite a bit different than what we have here. We were very clear, in our initial presentation to the Board that we are seeking approval for a warehouse. A warehouse is a use that is defined in the township's code. It is what we are seeking approval for and it is a permitted use in the ED zone so we are before this Board asking for approval of a warehouse. We are not asking for approval of a trucking terminal facility. If we were to get site plan approval before this Board and develop a project that met the definition of a "trucking terminal facility" and not a warehouse, we would not be in compliance with the -- with the zoning ordinance and we'd be subject to a violation that would have to be issued by the township but we're not here asking for that. We are here asking for a warehouse which is a

permed use. With that being said, that's a substantive issue with their objection that we're going to resolve, I guess, in a different forum before the Zoning Board of Adjustment if we even get there.

But the idea that the Planning Board, all of you sitting up there, does not have the inherent jurisdiction to decide this type of question, is it -- that -- that very opinion that they -- that they just elicited a few minutes ago and told you that you don't have the inherent ability do that, that is contrary to published Appellate Division case law.

There is a published Appellate Division case law, <u>Fallone</u>, and then, for Mr. Dash, Mr. Clark, Mr. Cohen, it's 369 NJ Super 552 and that case which is cited in Mr. Dash's mentor's book, William Cox Guide on New Jersey Zoning and Land Use, stands for the proposition that the Planning Board has the inherent authority to construe the zoning ordinance for a pending application.

Here, we're not even asking you to make a construction of the zoning ordinance. We are telling you we are here seeking approval for a use that is permitted. We are going to provide testimony for a use that is permitted and we are going to ask you to approve a use that is permitted which is all the

Planning Board has authority to do.

In addition to that, the idea that we are going to somehow agree to a stay of this proceeding, frankly, the Planning Board, number one, does not have the statutory authority to issue a stay of the proceeding. The Planning Board has to act within certain, definite statutory time frames. In this type of an application, that time frame is 95 days from a complete application. We've extended that time frame through correspondence with Mr. Collins until May 15th but the Planning Board has to act during that time.

If the objectors want to pursue the zoning ordinance interpretation at the Board of Adjustment, if that get resolved unfavorably to us, for some reason, that's the risk that we take by proceeding tonight but, frankly, in my opinion, that appeal, as of right now, is completely untimely. We haven't even finished our application. To make an application to the Zoning Board at this -- at this juncture, as if they know exactly what we're proposing to do, they've only heard, I don't know, one-third of our presentation, one-third of our testimony. They haven't even heard the entire application and, yet, they presume that they can go to the Zoning Board for an interpretation of something that they don't fully

understand yet.

2.2

So the idea that we should not be allowed to put our entire case on, if the objectors want to appeal the Planning Board's decision in that matter or if they want to seek a determination from the Zoning Board after the fact? Fine. That's their prerogative. They have every right to do it but the facts in Dipietro are much different than what we have here.

In <u>Dipietro</u>, there was an applicant that was seeking approval for a self-storage facility in a commercial zone. The commercial zone permitted any commercial uses consistent with that zone, essentially. The objectors said "That's not a self-storage facility. That's not a permitted use. That's a commercial warehouse and a commercial warehouse is expressly not permitted in this zone so, Planning Board, you need to look at this application and determine whether or not that is actually a permitted use that they're proposing. Make that determination." The Planning Board had a separate vote in the middle of the proceeding to rule on an interpretation of the zoning ordinance and the court said, "No. You can't do that. You have to go to the Zoning Board of Adjustment."

It's not factually analogous to this at all. We're not here asking for you to interpret

whether or not our use fits into a broad category of permitted uses under the ordinance. We're telling you: This is going to be a warehouse. That's all it's going to be and a warehouse is permitted. That's it, end of story.

So suffice to say, I disagree. The Planning Board should move forward and we'd like to present our case.

Thank you.

2.2

MR. DASH: I'd like the opportunity to respond to that.

## (Applause)

MR. DASH: So the -- the case cited by Counsel is not on point. What that case says, if you actually read it carefully and you read <u>Dipietro</u> in connection with this case is that, yes, at one point, this Planning Board had jurisdiction, when it initially was submitted as a warehouse application. What <u>Dipietro</u> stands for is, once an application -- we're not theorizing here. We have actually -- and maybe this has been lost upon Mr. Gouin.

We have actually filed an application before the Zoning Board of Adjustment for an interpretation. Once we do that, this Board is divested of its authority and jurisdiction to hear it

so it's not a simple matter of: We've submitted it as a warehouse. Of course, they have, right? Because that -- that -- that plays into their case. We would respectfully suggest it's a trucking terminal but, out of fairness, neither we nor the applicant or this Board, under <a href="Dipietro">Dipietro</a>, which is on all fours here, <a href="Colts Neck vs.">Colts Neck vs.</a> -- our law system would be <a href="Colts Neck vs.">Colts Neck vs.</a> -- our law system would be <a href="Colts Neck vs.">Colts Neck vs.</a> -- our law system would be <a href="Colts Neck vs.">Colts Neck vs.</a> -- our law system would be <a href="Colts Neck vs.">Colts Neck vs.</a> -- our law system would be <a href="Colts Neck vs.">Colts Neck vs.</a> -- our law system would be <a href="Colts Neck vs.">Colts Neck vs.</a> -- our law system would be <a href="Colts Neck vs.">Colts Neck vs.</a> -- our law system would be <a href="Colts Neck vs.">Colts Neck vs.</a> -- our law system would be <a href="Colts Neck vs.">Colts Neck vs.</a> -- our law system would be <a href="Colts Neck vs.">Colts Neck vs.</a> -- our law system would be <a href="Colts Neck vs.">Colts Neck vs.</a> -- our law system would be <a href="Colts Neck vs.">Colts Neck vs.</a> -- our law system would be <a href="Colts Neck vs.">Colts Neck vs.</a> -- our law system would be <a href="Colts Neck vs.">Colts Neck vs.</a> -- our law system would be <a href="Colts Neck vs.">Colts Neck vs.</a> -- our law system would be <a href="Colts Neck vs.">Colts Neck vs.</a> -- our law system would be <a href="Colts Neck vs.">Colts Neck vs.</a> -- our law system would be <a href="Colts Neck vs.">Colts Neck vs.</a> -- our law system would be <a href="Colts Neck vs.">Colts Neck vs.</a> -- our law system would be <a href="Colts Neck vs.">Colts Neck vs.</a> -- our law system would be <a href="Colts Neck vs.">Colts Neck vs.</a> -- our law system would be <a href="Colts Neck vs.">Colts Neck vs.</a> -- our law system would be <a href="Colts Neck vs.">Colts Neck vs.</a> -- our law system would be <a hre

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Those cases stand for the proposition that, yes, once this Board initially had jurisdiction, no longer retains jurisdiction when an application for interpretation has been made. It only makes sense and it's only fair. It's a threshold determination and our courts have recognized that that threshold determination cannot be made by the Planning Board or according to what the applicant has characterized it as because it is -- it's not my opinion. This is the law. There's a very different -- there's a very different analysis there. I'm not simply opining on what I think it should be. Certainly, I would prefer it to be a trucking terminal but I'm not going that far here. not saying, "Mr. Chairman, this is a trucking terminal" to you. I respectfully am but not to this Board. I'll make that augment to the Zoning Board.

Pending that determination, the case is

clear that the -- that this body does not have the right to take that decision before it.

CHAIRPERSON REINA: Thank you.

Mr. Collins?

(Applause)

2.2

MR. COLLINS: Mr. Chairman, I've reviewed the legal letter submitted by Mr. Dash and Mr. Clark and we've heard the arguments of Counsel. This is a legal issue but the -- some of these issues about what the use is will be a future issue in this case at the Planning Board even if we still proceed tonight and into the hearing on this because such issues involve determinations not interpretations but determinations by the Planning Board of whether a use that's submitted complies with the townships ordinances.

Indeed, a site plan application is a hearing to determine whether the application conforms with the township ordinances. The applicant, in this case, asserted jurisdiction of the Board at the last hearing as a warehouse. The Board proceeded and found that the Board had jurisdiction to hear the application for a warehouse. The objectors can make their own objections and express their own position regarding what type of use it is and what facts are and whether the use complies with the ordinance as a warehouse but

that's part of a hearing on a site plan application and the Board, the Planning Board, is the sole Board that has jurisdiction over permitted uses.

So in this case -- unlike <u>Dipietro</u>, in this case, warehouses are explicitly listed as a permitted use in the ED zone and have been so listed probably for decades, perhaps four decades, and numerous tenants of warehouses have actually been approved and built in the ED zone throughout Sparta Township's ED zone so this is not a new use. This is not a use that was not listed in the uses permitted in the ordinances as was the case in Wayne Township where the applicant was asking for a self-storage facility. Self-storage facility was not even listed in the business zone that the applicant was seeking approval in.

So the -- the distinguishing feature of these two cases is very clear, is clear as a matter of law. Dipietro, the use was not listed as a permitted use. Diamond Chip, the use, warehouse, is listed as a permitted use. There is no doubt that that's what the ordinance says. It's black and white. It's blackletter law. It's not up to me to recommend that to you. That's what that ordinance says and that is very different than Dipietro.

I think that the Board should just proceed with the application. The parties can go ahead and disagree all they want about what their positions are and, to the extent that relevant information is provided to this Board about why this application does not conform to the ordinances, that is totally relevant in a proceeding like this on a site plan application. They can contest it all they want in this hearing.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

On the issue of a stay, the Board has no authority to stay or stop an application. Municipal Land Use Law sets up a procedure for starting a hearing and sets up statutory time clock for the Board to review and decide an application. statutory time clock can be extended by permission from the applicant but, if it was not acted upon within the statutory time clock, a very Draconian remedy takes place which is an automatic approval that the applicant obtains because a Board, in New Jersey, did not act within the time required by a statute. Very few governmental agencies are subject to such a strict time clock but your Board is and every Board in New Jersey is subject to that type of Draconian time clock that results in an automatic approval which is exactly what we don't want in any case in which action is not taken by a Board within the statutory time frame.

1 So my opinion, there is no authority of 2 the Board to stay the application. The applicant has 3 not agreed to postpone their application and, that being said, without any further argument, you should go 4 5 forward, start hearing the remainder of this case and proceed, as the Chairman was saying, with giving an 6 7 opportunity of the public to ask questions at 9:00. 8 MR. DASH: If this Board does not have --9 MR. COLLINS: No further -- no further 10 comment, Mr. Dash. You are done. This Board has no further 11 MR. DASH: 12 jurisdiction to hear this application. 13 MR. COLLINS: I don't agree, Mr. Dash, so you heard the decision. 14 15 CHAIRPERSON REINA: So if I'm understanding correctly and, again, I'm not an 16 17 attorney. I want to make sure we're clear and that the 18 rest of the community is clear. 19 Mr. Dash, Mr. Clark, your -- your --20 presented findings to the Board that, at the end of the 21 day, you disagree with the interpretation that this is 2.2 a warehouse versus a trucking terminal. Is that 23 correct? 24 MR. DASH: That --

MR. COLLINS: We're not going --

25

Mr. Chairman, we're not saying that it's an interpretation. What -- what Mr. Dash is making the point to you about is a specific phrase in the Municipal Land Use Law of a legal phrase called "interpretation" under Section B. I did not say that we interpreted the ordinance. I had said to you that the Board took jurisdiction over a warehouse application at its last meeting. Actually, Mr. Dash was asked if he had any jurisdictional objections to that determination at that meeting and he did not have any.

2.2

MR. DASH: You're mischaracterizing.

MR. COLLINS: He said -- and he said that the notice is acceptable so, that being said, we are done with this discussion. There is no authority of the Board to stay an application that has started, that there is no interpretation being made by the Planning Board because Planning Boards don't make interpretations but no interpretation is needed because the ordinance, unlike the <a href="Dipietro">Dipietro</a> case, explicitly lists warehouses as a permitted use in the ED zone.

The debate that they might have about whether it's a trucking terminal and not a warehouse is a debate that they can have in this forum and in other forums and they can even try to assert in this forum

that it is a trucking terminal and present testimony to that effect but, the bottom line is, unlike <u>Dipietro</u>, warehouses are specifically permitted in this zone so an interpretation is, technically, not needed and the Board doesn't have to make an interpretation. The Board just applies the current ordinances to the site plan application. There's nothing in the case law that prohibits that and there's nothing in the case law that requires you to stay an application and nothing in the case law that case law that allows to you stay an application.

2.2

So we should proceed.

MR. DASH: Mr. Chairman, I appreciate the -- the question. It was --

MR. COLLINS: Mr. Dash, you should -- you should now stop because you are arguing --

(Multiple people speaking simultaneously)

MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC: Let him speak.

MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC: Stop talking to him like that.

MR. DASH: Mr. Chairman, it was a good question and I don't think it was answered properly here. We're not arguing that it's a trucking terminal here tonight. That's not why we're here. We're -- we're here tonight -- I'm sorry. We're -- we're here tonight. We're here tonight to make a point that

Mr. Collins has said that this Board does not have the jurisdiction to stay this application and I want to assure you, please. I have been a citizen here and a member of the Bar for 42 years. I'm not making an application here on passion. I have researched this ad nauseam. You're not receiving the proper legal advice here, respectfully. Okay?

The -- the -- the contention here is that this Board doesn't have the jurisdiction, forget about a stay. They can't even decide the stay without the jurisdiction in the first place. The -- the -
Dipietro has been read. It's in the -- it's in the Cox treatises that, once an application has been filed seeking an interpretation, the Board -- neither the Board nor applicant nor the Board's attorney gets to make that decision. The Zoning Board does.

Alternatively, the court does. There's no prejudice to the applicant here. There's no prejudice to the Board here. We're asking for accordance it with the law and, the interpretation of Dipietro to -- to -- without jurisdiction, it's a nullity.

Anything that we go on forward from here
-- and I want to make the record very clear. We're not
arguing about semantics here tonight, whether it's a
trucking terminal or whether it's not. Ultimately, we

```
will make that argument but nobody here, in straight
 1
 2
    face, if it's not politically motivated can say that
 3
    this is a not legal argument that needs to be submitted
 4
    to the Zoning Board of Adjustment. Anything else
 5
    suggests that there are other motives implied there.
    I, respectfully, am not doing that. I'm not here to do
 6
 7
    that. I really want to keep this at a high level and
 8
    I -- I've -- I've -- researched this in quite detail.
 9
                   The case that Mr. Gouin stands for does
10
    not stand for what we're arguing here today.
11
    as I said, this Board had jurisdiction but Dipietro, as
    interpreted, you can read it and Mr. Collins is
12
13
    familiar with it. I'm disappointed he's not advising
    you of it. Okay?
14
15
                   MR. COLLINS: Mr. Dash, I do not --
                   MR. DASH: You interrupted me before.
16
17
    Don't interrupt me now.
18
               (Applause)
19
                   CHAIRPERSON REINA: Mr. Dash? Mr. Dash,
20
    I asked politely earlier.
21
                   MR. DASH: Okay.
22
                   CHAIRPERSON REINA: Keep this
23
    professional, cordial and respectful.
24
                   MR. DASH: I have not been disrespectful
25
    at all.
```

MR. COLLINS: Yes. You were, Mr. Dash.

MR. DASH: Okay. I don't think so.

And all I'm saying is that this is not a question of how we are interpreting this application tonight because none of us have the authority to do so. Only the Zoning Board has the authority.

2.2

If we -- if we, theoretically, were going to say to you, Mr. Chairman, "We intend to file an application," then I respect that this Board has the right and I would respect that but we are not theoretical. We have actually taken the step to file the application. I want to make sure that's clear. We have actually filed that application before the Zoning Board. That divests this Board of jurisdiction to hear this application and, therefore, the -- the notion that Mr. Collins said that there is not the right to stay this application, well, there's not the right to act on this application because there's no jurisdiction.

MR. CLARK: And I'll just add that what you're being asked to do is actually to make an interpretation. If you go forward tonight, you are effectively making an interpretation of whether this is a warehouse and a trucking terminal and they want us to do exactly what the -- the mistake they made in

<u>Dipietro</u>. What they want us to do is to continue to go forward and make arguments and ask for findings about whether this is a trucking terminal or a warehouse in front of the Board that doesn't have jurisdiction to hear it. We can't make the same mistake as the plaintiffs did in <u>Dipietro</u> but that's what Mr. Collins wants us to do and the applicant wants us to do and we can't do it.

CHAIRPERSON REINA: My understanding based on --

(Applause)

CHAIRPERSON REINA: My understanding, from the guidance from Mr. Collins, is that this Board does not have the authority to stay. I understand your arguments with regards to your interpretation for <a href="Dipietro">Dipietro</a> and I respect that and I think, you know, you putting forth an application for interpretation, you know, I commend you on a move to make sure that we are looking at this in the proper form under the proper jurisdiction.

I will say, I mean, if this Board -- and under legal advice from the town attorney is that we do not have the jurisdiction to create a stay for this and we've got an applicant that is willing to proceed, our biggest cost or loss here is time, effort and money

should your argument be withheld. Is that correct?

MR. DASH: Respectfully, thank you for thinking about this and I really appreciate that but the point is a finer distinction.

The argument that this Board doesn't have the stay the ability to stay, doesn't have the jurisdiction, that -- that presupposes that the Board -- that this Board has jurisdiction to begin with tonight in light of our pending application for an objection on the question of interpretation. That application has divested this Board of jurisdiction under the analysis of <a href="Dipietro">Dipietro</a>. That's a fine distinction, sir, that needs to be taken into consideration. The idea that this Board cannot effect a stay is not true. It's incorrect legally.

And, moreover, I want to impress that the action taken here tonight is not subject to the arbitrary, capricious and de novo standard -- arbitrary, capricious, unreasonable standard because this is a legal question of interpretation and it would be plain error of this Board to proceed further tonight without the jurisdiction so I can appreciate if you didn't have the ability to stay but you don't have the jurisdiction to begin with now that we've filed this application and we have a right to go straight to the

court but we're not doing that out of respect and deference to the Zoning Board to give them an opportunity to give the applicant a fair opportunity to be heard at the Zoning Board.

This is an absolute disrespect for the process that has been laid out by <u>Dipietro</u> and by the long line of -- the -- the analysis of where the Board gets its jurisdiction goes to a question of interpretation. We're putting the cart before the horse by adopting the applicant's interpretation of the applicant as a warehouse.

Yes, there -- I don't disagree with Mr. Collins. Yes, when the application was submitted, sure, the Board had jurisdiction. No question about that. That jurisdiction has now been lost when a question has been put into place formally before the Zoning Board by our application that now divests this Board of any further jurisdiction so, whether you characterize it as a "stay" or as an "adjournment," the applicant doesn't have a right to demand a decision on this hearing when this Board doesn't have jurisdiction. It would be the same argument when we appear in Federal Court of the United Stated which is based on the Constitution, fundamental to a quasi-judicial body right to make any decision, before you get into whether

you have a right to stay, whether it's a warehouse, whether it's not a warehouse, is whether the Board has jurisdiction. The application for interpretation now divests this Board of jurisdiction. It's -- it's -- it's -- it's a fundamental concept in law. I respectfully ask, again, whether you call it an "adjournment," a "stay" or a "continuance," this Board does not have the right to -- to proceed tonight.

Thank you.

## (Applause)

MR. COLLINS: Mr. Chairman? Mr.

Chairman, the case cited to you by Mr. Dash does not support Mr. Dash's position. Dipietro actually was an Appellate Division case that actually affirmed the Planning Board determination that a self-storage facility that was not explicitly listed as a permitted use in the commercial zone was a permitted use and that the Board acted properly in approving the site plan approval for that use, even though self-storage was not explicitly permitted in the zone. As I have explained to you before, in the ED zone, warehouse is explicitly permitted so the Board has jurisdiction, as a Planning Board, to hear an application -- there's no doubt about that -- for a warehouse and you probably should proceed tonight and you offered the opportunity to use the last

hour for the questions from the public so I recommend that we proceed and there should be no further comment from attorneys.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON REINA: All right.

MR. DASH: That's not consist with our -that's not consistent with our due process. We made
the record.

MR. COLLINS: It is consistent, Mr. Dash. You are finished.

appreciate your comments. I appreciate your testimony and you putting forth your comments. I want to thank you guys. I think, at, you know, this point, based on legal advice, I'd like to move forward with the application.

MR. DASH: Okay. You can.

CHAIRPERSON REINA: I encourage you to continue to have those discussions with Zoning

Departments and, you know, with -- you know, with the attorneys to determine whether or not, you know, again, the interpretation of -- of where jurisdiction lies.

As Mr. Collins has pointed out, you know, this was presented to us as a warehouse --

MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC: But it's not.

CHAIRPERSON REINA: -- which is a

permitted use within this economic development zone so

I want to be clear on that. I mean that's how this was

presented to the Board so I want to give the applicant

an opportunity to, at least, walk through and discuss

why and the fundamentals of their application as it

sits before this Board.

MR. CLARK: Sure. And just let the record reflect, that, by allowing this to continue, we are not waiving our jurisdictional arguments nor arguments that any testimony taken subsequent to the filing of our application is null and void and shall have no effect.

Thank you.

MR. DASH: We reserve the right to -- to -- with all rights with respect to filing a directive with the court.

CHAIRPERSON REINA: Thank you.

(Applause)

2.2

CHAIRPERSON REINA: So with that, Mr.

Collins, I believe next up is Mr. Ford. We left off
with you during our -- our last meeting. I would like
to swear --

MR. COLLINS: So Mr. Ford is still under oath and Mr. Ford will try to answer the questions as much as he can from the questions posted on the website

from the Q and A from the last meeting of March 3rd.

Please go ahead, gentlemen.

MR. GOUIN: Sure. Thank you.

Yeah. So what we wanted to do tonight, we also have -- sorry. We also have our traffic engineer here. Actually, we have our whole team here and what we hoped to do during the public comment portion was answer questions from anybody in the public that has questions of everybody who's testified so far. We do have all those professionals available. We actually brought a few more professionals in case there are targeted questions we need them to answer.

But what we did, after the first hearing, was, we took a look at the questions that the Planning Board received from the public and those were distilled down for us by Board staff so we do have a list of questions and it was promised that we would go through these questions with Mr. Ford and answer them. My hope is that a lot of the questions that we would otherwise get during the public comment period will be answered at this portion of the hearing. Somehow I suspect that might not be the case but we are going to do our best to address the public's questions.

DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. GOUIN:

Q. So, Mr. Ford, you're still under oath and

why don't we just start running through these one by one together. Okay?

So the first question: Does this project fall under a PILOT? Which is "payment in lieu of taxes."

A. No.

2.2

Q. And -- and -- and the reason for that, just so everybody is familiar, this is not a redevelopment project with a capital "R." This is not a redevelopment area, there's no payment in lieu of taxes, no financial agreement with the municipality, nothing like that. This is strictly an application for a use that is permitted in the ED zone and so there is no financial arrangement, payment in lieu of taxes, long-term abatement, anything like that.

Number 2: "What is the difference in truck traffic coming and going now from the current use compared to the proposed use for Route 15 and local roads?"

A. Minimal impact.

CHAIRPERSON REINA: May I make a recommendation?

MR. GOUIN: Sure.

CHAIRPERSON REINA: So instead of going line by line for each of these, because I know there's

40 72-plus questions, is it okay, because it -- it -- a 1 2 lot of the questions are centered around the traffic 3 component and the environmental, would you be okay if 4 we, I guess, started with the traffic? Because I do 5 want to make sure that we get to the traffic testimony. MR. GOUIN: Yeah. I think -- I think 6 7 we'll be through this shorter than you think. Most of 8 the traffic questions, we're going to defer to our traffic engineer. 10 CHAIRPERSON REINA: Okay. MR. GOUIN: Environmental, we'll defer 11 12 so, for the questions that Mr. Ford can answer, I just 13 want to let everybody know that, if there's a traffic 14 question, we are going to punt it to our traffic 15 engineer. 16 CHAIRPERSON REINA: All right. 17 you. 18 MR. PARKER: Mr. Ford? Just turn around 19 and grab the mic and bring it close to you. Bring the 20 stand around. 21 MR. FORD: Got it. MR. PARKER: Just so the testimony is 22

picked up on the record. Thank you.

MR. FORD: Certainly.

25

Q. Okay. So Number 2: "What is the

41 difference in truck traffic coming and going now for 1 2 the current use compared to the proposed use for Route 15 and local roads?" 3 It will be a minimum impact and our 4 Α. 5 traffic engineer --6

MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC: Oh my God.

MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC: Try living there.

MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC: Give us -- give us

a break.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC: Do you live here?

Compared -- compared to the existing Α. operation and what we are proposing, it will be a minimum impact of truck traffic and our traffic engineer --

MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC: It's terrible as it is.

MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC:

- Our traffic engineer will be sworn in for Α. testimony to model that under the DOT standards.
- Number 3: "Does 'boxcar loading' refer to the actual train loading and unloading area?"
- Α. Boxcars are one form of equipment that are used in rail operations for moving the goods so, if you're referring to that type of equipment being a boxcar, as to loading and unloading?

 ${\tt Q.}$  "Where will the trains travel to and from?"

2.2

- A. The New York Susquehanna Western, the NYS&W Railroad, which is the operating railroad here in town, there's a yard called "Sparta Junction" which is over by the propane operation and that's where they switch, at night, cars in from -- in and out from...
- Q. So the next question, Jim, pertains to traffic and we'll probably kick this over to Adam

  Gibson but the next question is: "How many" --

MR. COLLINS: Mr. Ford, while you're on that -- I'm sorry -- why don't you just explain where the rail line runs from and to, the New York Susquehanna and Western Rail Line.

MR. FORD: The New York Susquehanna and Western is a short line or railroad. It's not a Class 1 large railroad like you see a section of the Norfolk Southern here in the Northeast so the NYS&W runs, basically, from -- from south of the ports in New Jersey up -- up into New York as far as Syracuse but -- but the actually rail -- rail line that, as you know, runs under adjacent to our site under 15. There's no crossing there. There is a crossing, Gray Crossing, as you all know. Further south on 15 of -- of the -- of the intersection at Houses Corner and 15 there but then

the rail line runs across Demarest and over to the propane, sugar terminal and nitrous -- the gases facility that is over there and there is an actual rail yard there that stores those cars that come in via the other locations into the NYS&W to serve local jobs or local industry which has existed for years.

Q. Number -- I'm going to skip over a few that have to deal with traffic. I want to hand those over to Adam Gibson.

Number 5 has do with traffic.

Number 6 is: "How many additional train cars are expected to come through and at what hours?"

A. Our facility that we -- our warehouse facility that we designed here allows for 16 unloading spots for railcars or "boxcars" as some people in the audience might call them as well. It just depends on the type of product that is moved which is, in our case, what we're marketing towards is consumer goods, as I've said before, packaging, those things that we would consume on a regular basis just like the word "warehouse" explains or is -- is written, it's a housing of wares and wares would be consumer goods in nature so there are 16 spots at the unloading facility location dock outside of the warehouse so -- so the answer, really, is 16 spots and we can unload or load

at any time.

- Q. Well, what hours will those trains be operating?
- A. The NYS&W Railroad operates and does their local switching for this industry, for the propane that exists right now, for the sugar terminal for any operation, the switching they do to industry locations like this and they presently do it on -- on -- on like part of the properties at Sparta Redi-Mix are on between the hours of 5:00 p.m. and 5:00 a.m.
- Q. "How much noise would be generated and has there been any -- has that been given any attention or study?"
- A. There is a very written and clear -- it's been for a long time -- a noise ordinance in the township here and any tenants or any use at this facility would have to meet all of those noise standards that already exist.
- Q. This is -- this is a loaded question: "What is the proposed use of the warehouse?"
- A. To store consumer goods or packaging as I've said before in testimony.
- Q. "What type of products would be shipped to and from the warehouse?"
- A. Same thing, anything consumer goods,

anything durables, anything packaging that we consume on a daily basis as consumers.

Q. "How much will the use increase the burdens on township for water and other police and fire-related work?"

"How much tax revenue will be paid to Sparta Township?"

A. I think, both, the fire department and the police department have signed off on our preliminary plan, in all forms and fashions, number one. Number two is that --

What was the second part of the question?

Q. Tax revenue.

- A. Tax revenue is probably going to be in the range of a dollar 50 per square foot so, if you times it by 881,000 square feet, that would be the ratable minimum that we -- we -- we expect within Sussex County from history of other types of warehouses just like ours.
- Q. So, approximately, 1.5, 1.6 million per year?
- A. Could be a little bit less; could be a little bit more, yes. That's up to the tax assessor.
- Q. And just to point out, to Mr. Ford's point, we did get handed letters actually right before

we walked in. One is dated March 21, 2022 from the Sparta Township fire official. One is dated April 5, 2022 and that is from the Sparta Township Police Department. Both of those letters, basically, conclude that they have no issues or concerns with the above project as the Fire Prevention Bureau stated and, as the township police department stated based on the traffic study that we submitted, they don't foresee any traffic-related issues with the proposed project at 33 Demarest Road.

MR. CLARK: Objection. Speculation.

12 There's no evidence. That's a conclusion with no...

MR. GOUIN: I'm just reading what the fire -- what the fire and police department --

CHAIRPERSON REINA: We'll address those.

MR. GOUIN: Yeah. That's fine.

CHAIRPERSON REINA: We'll ask.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$  GOUIN: I was reading what the letter stated.

Q. Number 16: "Will the tenants be restricted from sending their trucks to Exit 15" -- I'm sorry -- "will be sending their trucks to Exit Route 15 earlier on 517?"

A. No.

2.2

Q. "Will a private fuel station be needed?"

A. No.

2.2

- Q. 18 deals with traffic which Adam will handle.
- 19 deals with property tax impact which we already addressed.
- 20 deals with the expected increase in rail traffic. Jim, you can answer that.
- A. Once again, as I stated, that our facility, the maximum amount of boxcars, railcars, any type of rail equipment that can be unloaded or loaded at any given time, 16 car spots.
- Q. And the idea is that the -- the rail currently serves the existing use, correct?
  - A. That is correct.
- Q. Right. So the idea that the -- the testimony would be that the expected increase in rail traffic is essentially none because it's already operating.
- A. It is operating presently. It's been operating for years.
- Q. "Does the main rail line cross Route 15 where Route 15 goes from dual-lane highway to single-lane?
- A. I'm not sure exactly what the intent or, the person who asked that question, what exactly they

meant by single- to dual-lane but -- but as we all know 1 2 -- as we all know, the main rail line for the NYS&W and 3 our site or adjacent or that would service our site, period, goes under Route 15 -- Route 15. 4 MEMBERS OF THE PUBLIC: No. 5 MR. FORD: Yes. It does. 6 7 MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC: No, it does not. 8 I live there. 9 MR. FORD: Yes, it does. 10 MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC: Right by the Bagel 11 Station. CHAIRPERSON REINA: There are -- there 12 13 are additional crossings --14 MR. FORD: Mr. Chairman, I said that 15 earlier in my testimony. There are other crossings, absolutely. Online Press on 15 further to the south 16 17 but the line that's adjacent to our property, as it effects 15, goes under 15. It's not even adjacent to 18 19 our property. 20 MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC: What are you 21 talking about? 22 MR. GOUIN: We'll reserve whether or not 23 it goes under or --24 MR. DASH: This isn't credible testimony. 25 The Board needs to question it. It's not.

- Q. "If the train is on the tracks, will there still be access to the buildings for emergency road?"
  - A. Yes.

2.2

- Q. "What is the distance of the property from Route 15?"
- A. The actual discuss from -- from our property to Route 15 is 1600 feet in a direct line.
- Q. Next question is really an environment question, Jim, so I'm going to defer that to Mike Green, who will come up later, or Pat Lehman, our environmental consultant.

MR. GOUIN: Adam, you're going to deal with question 25 which deals with traffic.

- Q. "Looking at the traffic study, is there any indication listed in the initial train cars and site frequency, time, storage and effects on surrounding sites?" So, basically, the question is:

  What is the effect on train cars going to be on traffic? Train car deliveries?
- A. It's the same as -- as is existing right now.
- Q. Well, is the -- is the idea that the warehouse is going to be served by rail gone to have, in your opinion, any positive or negative impact on

traffic on the site?

A. Less trucks. It's a four-to-one conversion ratio. You can fit four truck trailers' products, cubic amount of product, into one railcar.

MR. DASH: It's irrelevant.

Q. "Is there an artist" -- the question is:
"Is there an artist rendering" which, obviously, we
submitted at the last hearing. It's actually Page A002
in the architectural plans which were all marked as A-3
at the last hearing and that's what we have up in front
of everybody right now.

"How will the vehicle emissions affect people living in the area?"

- A. Emissions is the standard that -- that the government sets forth so whatever trucks, whatever autos, whatever rail, locomotives, that need to meet the government standards. That's not our determination.
- Q. And those are -- those would be federal, state, whoever regulates those vehicle emissions, all the trucks would have to meet whatever regulations apply?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. "How would it affect the environment and wildlife" which is really a question for our

environmental expert and we will get to that later in the presentation.

2.2

The next question relates to noise which Mr. Ford already talked about. All of our tenants would have to comply with whatever the noise ordinance in town and any relevant state standards.

Mr. Gibson is going to talk about the anticipated traffic pattern which is Number 31.

Adam is going to talk about Number 32 which is testimony on the traffic study.

Next question: "How many tractortrailers per day will drive through Sparta daily
considering there are 190 loading docks?" And that's
more of an operational question, Jim. Obviously, Adam
will talk to traffic impacts but, operationally, how is
this going to work?

A. Just because there's 190 doors doesn't mean there's 190 truck moving in and out every day.

It's a warehouse, a dwell facility, which means that it's a, you know, facility where consumers goods come in or packaging comes in and it could sit there for three months six months. I have warehouses that it sits there for a year. It's when the demand from the consumer or the stores or the retailers need that product that goes out.

This whole -- the whole operation of warehouse is orchestrated. It's like a ballet.

There's not free reign of coming in and out whenever you want. Trucks have to get appointments, scheduled, just like the train service. It's all scheduled and it's not a free-for-all. It's complete -- a completely-scheduled operation, 100 percent, that's presented to this Board and to the city before any occupancy of the building.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON REINA: Mr. Ford, do you operate other warehouses of this size and magnitude?

MR. FORD: In my 30-year career, I grew up in a transportation family, grew up in a trucking family, a railroad family. In over 30 years, it's been millions of square feet.

CHAIRPERSON REINA: Understanding we don't know what the tenants have and I know it's difficult to determine whether or not we know what those tenants would be but, based on history or based on similar operations of this size and scope, what is the number of trucks that you would expect for this sort of operation?

MR. HERTZBERG: Can I just add something to your question? Because the way I understand our ordinance is the only thing that would be allowed as

far as a warehouse goes would be not a retail warehouse but a wholesale warehouse and --

MR. FORD: Facility to facility.

MR. HERTZBERG: -- can you explain the difference?

MR. FORD: There's no -- another question coming up is retail component. There is no retail component to this.

CHAIRPERSON REINA: So this is not an Amazon warehouse where they can ship directly to a retail or I'm sorry a household.

MR. FORD: No.

CHAIRPERSON REINA: Okay. So I guess back to my original question and, I mean, the ability and, I think, from a community perspective, obviously, one of the larger impacts for us is a traffic component and how many trucks is this going to bring in and what sort of impact is that going to have so, again, understanding that we don't know what the tenants are going to be and this is Phase 1 of just getting figuring out getting preliminary site plan approval and then final site plan approval and then, ultimately, any tenants that have to get approved but, based on your, I guess, 30 years' of conspiracy and what you have seen, do you have some sort of an estimate or based on

similar-size-scope facilities, what would you anticipate in terms of trucking volume?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MR. FORD: Any answer I'd give right now, based on my history and based on other warehouses that I've had, I do -- I just built a warehouse similar size to this in another part of the country, okay, another major metropolitan area, okay, that has less than 20 trucks that come in and out a day but -- and we do have over 100 doors on that building. Okay? The reason why they need the doors is because the fact that they stage those trailers there, whether they're empty or whether they're full. It takes an operator -- an operator and the warehouse staff, you know, over four hours to load or unload a trailer. Because of that, that's why they have those empty doors for staging. It's a staging That's what it is, you know, to fill or to empty but if -- if -- if the simple -- the simple fact of common knowledge is that, you know, we are requesting 191 doors, that would be the spots that are available including the additional 50 of trailer parking so the maximum capacity of trailers that could ever be on this site at one time is 241.

CHAIRPERSON REINA: So 241 but not an understanding of when that traffic may move?

MR. SYLVESTER: That could be turned over

a number of different times.

2.2

MR. FORD: Right.

MR. SYLVESTER: So then carrying that statement, how you're -- I guess we'll get into the traffic study.

MR. FORD: Yes.

MR. SYLVESTER: Since you can't give an order of magnitude or a range, what is the traffic study going to use as some of the assumptions?

MR. FORD: What -- what we had to do and I well let Adam, as an expert witness, testify to that but we have to use, by NJDOT standards and federal standards, what's known as the Institute of Traffic Engineers recommendations. It's for this size facility and it's at a worst-case scenario. It's a national standard. It's what I would call a "metric," the national standard, and I would rather Adam, who is the expert engineer, who did the report, who wrote it, explain that in detail.

MR. MURPHY: Mr. Chairman, one clarification: The 191 doors is the actual amount of doors. The number 241 that you gave includes the 50 spaces for spotting empty trailers?

MR. FORD: Yes, sir.

CHAIRPERSON REINA: So understanding

we'll get into more detail of the traffic. 1 2 MR. GOUIN: Correct. 3 So back to -- back to the list of Ο. 4 questions: 35 deals with traffic as well. 5 36 deals with traffic. 6 7 Question 37: "Is this project already 8 approved?" No. No. Α. It's not. 10 Q.. "How will the increase in trucking affect 11 residences in the area?" That's really a question for 12 13 Mr. Gibson. 14 There's a question regarding the 15 retaining wall which maybe, when we get to the public comment, that's really a question for our civil 16 17 engineer so maybe, when we get to public comment and we 18 open to everybody, we'll have him answer that. CHAIRPERSON REINA: Yeah. I recommend 19 20 similarly for 40. 21 MR. GOUIN: And, similarly, for 40 and 22 41. Those are all questions regarding the retaining walls. 23 24 42 is: "Will any trucks be spending the Q. 25 night with cabs and sleeping quarters?"

A. Absolutely not, no.

- Q. No. And, frankly, that if the Board elects, that could be a condition of any approval here as well.
  - A. I'm glad to make it a condition.
- Q. "What is the proposed" -- we -- we did talk about 43. The question was: "What is the proposed roofing material? TPO or EPDM?"

Our architect testified to that at the previous hearing, what the roof was going to be made of.

44 --

CHAIRPERSON REINA: Do you want to restate that?

MR. GOUIN: The answer is: It could be either one; it could be either one.

CHAIRPERSON REINA: Do you have one that you are leaning towards or...

MR. FORD: Well, it sounds like, whoever did ask the question is of the opinion that TPO is a better solution for this. I would gladly use TPO.

It's not a cost factor. It's more of an opinion. In a colder climate like this, there's a difference of opinions on longevity, life expectancy of those two types of materials. It's hard to get either one right

now in the supply-chain world we're living in but TPO would be fine.

CHAIRPERSON REINA: Thank you.

- Q. Number 44: "Are you aware that, across from this development, there's a big hazmat/natural gas storage facility?"
- A. Yes. I mentioned that earlier in my discussion testimony.
- Q. Number 45: Would this facility increase rail traffic resulting in additional crossing on Route 15 and Main Street in Sparta coming up to Route 517?"
- A. Additional? No. Because that -- that -- those trains that are switching are switching from points beyond the Sparta Junction yard and, as I said, our warehouse building would be served on what we call a local job and that's from 5:00 p.m. to 5:00 a.m. where the NYS&W switches cars in and out of our property.

CHAIRPERSON REINA: Mr. Ford, has there been any sort of a, I guess, train impact study? I know we've got the traffic study. We've got the environmental study. Is it -- is it normal to conduct a train-based study when we're going when we're looking at train-based operations like this?

MR. FORD: No, it's not, other than the

ratio you had referenced before, yes.

MR. SYLVESTER: Just to clarify, was that 60 or 16 cars, boxcars?

MR. FORD: There's 16, 1-6, unloading spots proposed on our property.

MR. SYLVESTER: Thank you.

MR. HERTZBERG: So just to clarify, you might see an increase in cars on a particular rail to serve your warehouse but it wouldn't require an extra train. Is that why you're saying you don't expect an increase in rail?

MR. FORD: That is correct so maybe let me lever in a little bit, is that, the way a railroad operates, in this case, the NYS&W, they have a -- what we call a "manifest train." A manifest train is what we all, as individuals, see as a long train that's going to cross the tracks, that kind of stuff, right? That -- there's so many different types of rail operations but that typically is proposed of hundreds of railcars.

MR. HERTZBERG: Correct.

MR. FORD: And those are the freight trains that frustrate us every day going to work. The gates are down, the signals are on and the intersection is blocked. Our operation, our warehouses, is not

remotely that. It is a minimal amount of cars that can be handled daily. I use the word "daily" but meaning in a day's time. Switched at night, as I said, from 5:00 p.m. to 5:00 a.m. so whatever cars at a maximum of 16 could be pulled off the empties and put in live loads or, you know, fill loads, is the absolute maximum that is proposed in this existing operation and that switch with Sparta Junction yard which is adjacent to the propane operation, as those who live here know, it is not a long, you know, 200-car train that's being switched in and out of our property. There's not a length of track that comes in and out of our property and, once again, that's what's known as a "local job."

When the big train comes in from elsewhere, from anywhere else that the NYS&W is receiving that train, whether it's south, whether it's north, whether it's you know Syracuse, wherever that longer train is coming in, they switch it into the yard, they break it down into smaller components. Some go to the propane company. Some go to, you know, other operations here locally that exist in industry and a couple of those cars or some of those cars come to us. One day, it might be four cars and it could be -- it could be a maximum of 16 cars at one time.

MS. QUINN: Can I -- can I ask you a

quick question?

2.2

MR. FORD:

MS. QUINN: So, currently, do either of the businesses that are on this site accept rail?

Sure.

MR. FORD: Yes, they do.

MS. QUINN: Okay. So what is the number of railcars, ballpark? So in -- in addition -- in comparison to this?

MR. FORD: Councilwoman, it -- it varies. It truly varies depending on the operation and, as you know, my -- my partner is in the Redi-Mix business and the sand and gravel business have been there for years and their use has been permitted for years. They worked, for many years, with the railroad trying to use this as more of a transfer facility or, as you can see, there's sand, there are gavel, there are other operations of building materials and supplies that are transferred to that to the local area for home building, for retail, for any type of development that may be going on and that varies at times. It's a supply-and-demand question is what it really is so, at times --

MS. QUINN: Yeah. I'm looking at this to see -- there's a lot of questions.

MR. FORD: Sure.

MS. QUINN: Is this going to increase the cars? The question keeps coming up so what I'm recommending is maybe you could provide us with, go back, look at your data, the scheduling, whatever and just give us an average so that we know what we're talking about.

MR. FORD: Sure.

2.2

MS. QUINN: What are we talking about now versus what are we talking about, you know, projected into the future.

MR. FORD: Sure.

 $\label{eq:MS.QUINN:} \quad \text{I would recommend that that}$  be given to the Board.

MR. FORD: Absolutely.

MS. QUINN: Okay?

MR. SYLVESTER: And maybe just a -- just a couple to that, the assumptions need to be defined, at least. They can't be so broad that it doesn't answer the questions or it leaves more uncertainty than certainty when you either are referring to rail or the traffic that we're going to hear upcoming here but the assumptions should be very clearly defined.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$  GOUIN: Fair enough. We understand. We agree.

MR. KOLLAR: I just have one question.

MR. GOUIN: Sure.

MR. KOLLAR: You mentioned before that it would take four hours to load or unload an 18-wheeler. How long would it take to load or unload a single boxcar?

MR. FORD: That's, at least, double the time.

MR. KOLLAR: Thank you.

MR. SKEI: And if I could ask one question as well, please?

MR. FORD: Sure.

MR. SKEI: In regards to the traffic, I understand that you have a maximum of 16 cars that you could fit there, correct?

MR. FORD: Correct.

MR. SKEI: Okay. So you know, you can have 16 or less depending on the load that's coming in. I think, when we get that additional information, what would be helpful is to understand not just the additional cars that may be, right now, put on to current trains that have less than 16 but I think, to the Councilman's question before, you know, are there additional engines bringing an additional loads? I think there's a distinction there, right? Are you bringing more frequency of trains in with engines

```
pulling in up to 16 boxcars or is it just a question of
1
 2
    making sure or whatever the need might be at the
 3
    warehouse that there's up to 16 boxcars that can come
    in and the traffic won't change? I think we need to
 4
    understand that distinction.
 5
                   MR. GOUIN: No. Understood and that's
 6
7
    what we're going to provide so that -- we understand --
                   MS. QUINN: We can cover all of this more
 8
 9
                  MR. GOUIN:
10
                              Yes.
11
                  MS. QUINN: -- obviously, in the
12
    testimony?
13
                  MR. FORD: Absolutely.
14
                   MS. QUINN: So let's keep going with the
15
    questions.
                  MR. GOUIN: Yep.
16
17
                   MR. FORD: Sure.
18
                   MR. GOUIN: I'm going -- I'm going - I'm
    going to try to skip over the train questions for now
19
20
    because I think it would be more beneficial if we
    provided that information.
21
22
                  All right. So 47: "Will there be
            Q.
    storefronts? Will there be retail stores in the
23
24
    building?"
25
            Α.
                  No.
```

Q. "Will this be a last-mile warehouse? If it is, will that increase traffic?" We don't have to answer the second part because the answer is no, correct?

A. No.

2.2

Q. "Are restaurants proposed for this site?"

A. No.

Q. "What kind of traffic is going to be added to Route 15," which is a question that Adam will get into.

"If warehouse and some sort of shipping, will you have lots of traffic," that's an Adam question.

"Why does it have to be 50 feet high" which is Number 52.

A. Because it's a dwell warehouse. It is not a truck terminal. It's not a transfer operation. When products come in, as I said, consumer goods -- it could be bottled water, it could be anything that we need for our daily lives for consumption, it's a dwell facility -- we either wrap it or it's palletized. The reason for that clear height is designed in a warehouse building at 43 clear in the inside is for long-term dwell. It doesn't go in one side and come out of the other. It needs to stay there. That's why. The size

of the warehouse is an intention of -- of the dwell time in the warehouse.

2.2

Q. And just so we're clear on that, Jim, can you just -- just for a little bit explain why a dwell warehouse -- I think he said that it's not -- it wasn't clear. Explain why a dwell warehouse needs to be 50 feet high; whereas, a trucking terminal might be something less?

A. Because it doesn't go in one door and out the other. By design alone -- by design alone of these facilities, it is not a cross-dock terminal. It is not a transfer terminal. It is not a truck terminal. It is a warehouse. It houses wares and it sits there and dwells for months upon time and, literally, I mean I -- I --

I have a tenant that makes glass bottles. I kid you not, walked in their warehouse recently and there's dust in the bottles because they've been sitting there for over a year. Their customer, the consumer, has not had a need for that type of bottle and that type of product to be shipped out to be filled and used. It's there available for -- for when needed.

MR. MURPHY: Mr. Chairman?

Just to add on to that, there's a certain distance that you -- from the top of your top rack to

the sprinkler system.

2.2

2 MR. FORD: That is correct.

MR. MURPHY: And it used to be 4 feet. I don't know what it is to our code. Is it -- because you're at a difference of 40 feet versus 50 for the building height.

MR. FORD: Yeah. That's what the code requires so -- so it is...

MR. MURPHY: It will be within code?

MR. FORD: Oh. Absolutely, yes. Yes

MR. MURPHY: Thank you.

- Q. "Will there be a security team on the site or will you rely on the township police?"
- A. These type of warehouse operations, typically, would have either a manager or a security person on site, whether they're checking trucks in and out at the gate facility, whether they're there, literally, just to -- to secure it at night. Some companies elect to have full-time security guards even at night when they're not operating but, yeah, if there was a 911 call, of course, we're going to call. I would assume that the operator or the user of the building would call the township police.
- Q. Well, what are -- well, the next question is: "What are the intended use and operational

details" which is what we're going through now.

Question 55 is more a question for the township which is: "Does the township have a fire truck which can go up 50 feet?"

I mentioned before; we did get a letter from the Fire Prevention Bureau that said -- and I quote: "The fire prevention bureau has no issues or concerns with the above project as presented" but I'll defer to the township on that.

56: "Based on the amount of trucks coming in" -- and -- oh. We talked about on-site fuel station. There is not one?

A. No.

2.2

Q. We talked about whether it's going to be a warehouse or a distribution center.

The next question, I'm not exactly sure how -- well, Jim, you could answer this the best you can. "How is the facility going to manage all the transient individuals who will be passing through as factory workers, truckers and rail workers and the safety and that of our children, traffic."

That's the question.

MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC: Yes.

A. The warehouse, when it -- when it's open, if it's open, would look towards a local labor pool so

there's no transients, in any form or fashion. The truckers -- the truckers are coming from other locations and they're bringing a live load or an empty load and leaving upon switching their load and, the railroad, the NYS&W, there's only two people on a crew on their nightly crew, two people that switch all the industry around here so there's two people that are local residents here that work for the railroad that switch the cars in and out. That's it.

2.2

MR. SYLVESTER: Are the -- are the truckers all independent, operating as independents or that's going to be determined upon the tenant?

MR. FORD: It's determined by the tenant but another one of the major shortages, as we know, in the country is -- are truck -- truck drivers and can -- pass the CDL and that kind of stuff so you're seeing a consolidation of many. They could be independent but -- but I think, a lot of the type of users that we'd look for this warehouse would rely on larger corporations to manage their freight so you would see larger corporations in the form of truck drivers that would service this facility.

CHAIRPERSON REINA: And, Mr. Ford, your -- your expectation, I think, based on the last testimony, was employment, again, not knowing what the

tenants are yet so I know it's kind of putting the cart before the horse but somewhere in the neighborhood between 100 and 400. Is that correct?

MR. GOUIN: Jim? Why don't we -That's -- that's a fair question, Mr.
Chairman.

Why don't we clarify that, Jim? Because I think that was a big point of confusion during the first hearing so let's explain what's going on with respect to our employment number.

A. We are approved presently, you know, for up to 100 employees from our sanitary capabilities, period. We would need to add capacity to the sanitary system and pay for that ourselves in order to have more employees at the warehouse.

As I stated earlier, Mr. Chairman, I have warehouses that are bigger than this with minimal employees and it's a matter of flushing toilets or having lunch or water, whatever it might be, to use in general but there are warehouses like this that could very well have, you know, 100 to 400 employees but that amount of employees, that amount of hiring and stuff, those — those things are all in — in — in the next process that comes through the city, an approval of a business license. They need to be approved for anybody

operating those capacities so, once again, I would be guessing, 100 percent, from my 30-year history, what it could be, could be minimal.

And, as I heard you, Councilwoman Quinn, say is that, I'm not here to give, you know -- you know, guesstimates or whatever it might be. We're here to give facts so...

MR. SYLVESTER: Again, back to my assumptions, that's -- you know, 100 to 400, are those numbers going to be factored into your traffic study outside of just the use of the terminal?

MR. GOUIN: Yeah. That's a point and -- and thank you, Mr. Sylvester. So that's a point that I wanted to clarify so the -- I don't know that we did a great job explaining that at the first hearing.

Right now, we have an approval for the on-site wastewater treatment facility for up to 100 employees. That's the maximum we can go so, for all intents and purposes, for this present application, the maximum that we would have for this facility is 100 employees. If we wanted to go anything over 100 employees, we would need to get a water quality management plan amendment, we would need to get additional approvals to have a water -- on-site treatment facility. We don't have that yet so that's

something --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MR. SYLVESTER: But you did file for that, correct?

MR. GOUIN: Correct. That -- we have applications pending for those but, again, you know, there's no guarantee that we get those approvals. There's no guarantee when we get those approvals and, frankly, it's not even something like, you know, NJDEP freshwater Wetlands or flood hazard area or Sussex County Planning Board or Soil Conservation District, all of which are going to be conditions of any approval this Board grants. That's not necessarily a condition of an approval that this Board grants. That's just something that this applicant would have to do, over and above, in order to get additional capacity for its on-site wastewater treatment to have more employees. It's not a guarantee so I think we gave that range at the -- at the first hearing but, right now, before this Board, we're presenting an application with a 2,000gallon-per-day -- am I getting that right -- 2,000gallon-per-day system so that's approved and that's all we have.

If that changes, frankly, if the applicant were to, in the future, get approval for an larger on-site wastewater treatment facility, we would

have to come back for amended site plan approval from this Board.

MR. FORD: Correct.

2.2

MR. GOUIN: And -- and the Board would have an opportunity to -- to address the additional employees that that would cause and all the additional factors so I just want to make that clear for the record because I do think we needed to -- to make that straight.

MR. COLLINS: Mr. Gouin, while we're on this, could you just take a look at your septic permit and just -- let's go back to this hundred person and just take -- take a look at it. It seems to say 80 employees with some guests, 150 guests; I assume visitors so is that the correct maximum under the current septic permit?

MR. FORD: It's -- yes. Which -- which

18 --

MR. COLLINS: Which is what the --

MR. FORD: Right. So when they say
"visitors," there could be visitors to the facility
but, just as much, that would also be there to service,
you know, a driver came in, had to use a restroom
before they left out right away.

MR. COLLINS: Right. That would be the

visitors, number of users, visitors, so, to the extent you made a general statement of the hundred employees, is that more likely to be limited to 80 per this septic permit?

MR. GOUIN: Yeah. That's probably right. When I said 100, that -- that was intending that it would be some combination of, you know, permanent, onsite employees and some number of visitors that would be using that allocation.

MR. COLLINS: Okay.

MR. GOUIN: I suppose it could be up to 150 visitors. We wouldn't anticipate that many visitors at any one time so --

MR. COLLINS: But -- but the point is, you'll comply with this septic permit and you'll act accordingly.

MR. GOUIN: Correct.

MR. COLLINS: Okay.

MR. GOUIN: Correct. Thank you.

We're almost -- we're almost through these. I think we can get through these last few quickly and then we have traffic.

Q. So, Jim, the question is, basically: Was there a market-need analysis done for this project?

You know, why here?

A. Yes. There's -- there's -- our spending habits change on a regular basis and -- and, because of that, the market analysis shows that, yes, in the consumer goods space, things we consume daily, there's a need for this type of operation for warehouse product that can be distributed to the future, in any form or fashion, to other suppliers. You know, every industry has different ways of distribution. The beverage industry is an example. You know, alcohol-related products, it comes from a specific building for that. This warehouse could very well feed that distribution facility.

2.2

So yes, there's a market analysis and the demand for this type of warehouse, numeration-wise, number-wise, is well beyond this for the region.

MR. SYLVESTER: Was that shared with the township or in a packet?

MR. GOUIN: Our market analysis?

MR. SYLVESTER: Yes.

MR. GOUIN: No. We have not submitted that.

Q. "If you can only unload 12 trucks at a time and don't want drivers waiting the four hours while other trucks are unloaded, roughly, 178 doors will be unused. Is that correct?"

You've gone through that a little bit,

Jim. Why don't you just elaborate?

- A. I'm not quite sure what that question means, to be honest.
- Q. Well, essentially, it's -- essentially, the unloading operation could look something like this rendering, correct, where some of the doors are unused, some of the doors are used?
  - A. That's correct.

- Q. And the reason that some of the doors would be unused is that those would be used for future staging areas for goods that have not been unloaded or they would be used for areas for goods that have already been unloaded, correct?
  - A. That is correct.

MR. KOLLAR: Excuse me. Could I --

MR. GOUIN: Sure.

MR. KOLLAR: -- just get a clarification on that? So when an 18-wheeler pulls in, will the -- will the cab wait while the truck -- while the trailer is loaded and unloaded or, rather, unloaded and loaded or does the cab pick up a different trailer and then leave right away?

MR. FORD: The typical -- the typical process -- and I say "typical" because of my 30 years'

of experience -- trucker comes in with a live load, backs it up to the door they've been told to back it up to and that door that they're backing up to is where it's going to be positioned in the warehouse because, even when the forklift operator comes in and he's unloading that trailer, they don't want that -- every time you touch it, it costs money. It's hours of operation. It's labor cost. It's all those kind of things, equipment cost.

2.2

You know, our electric -- electric forklifts that we mandate in the buildings -- it's electric by the way. The forklifts, you know, you don't want them running down at the end of the building so they -- they're told ahead of time their appointment. It's told which door to line up with in which to drop -- drop that load. Once they disconnect, they're typically pulling an empty trailer, hooking an empty trailer and bringing it outbound. It's what we call a "closed-loop system."

So, once again, on the trucking side of this operation, the absolute maximum, as I said multiple times, is 241 spot. That's the absolute max in a closed-loop system that you can ever support and you need a balance between, you know, the live loads and the empties and that's the reason why you have

multiple doors is because, one of those trailers, whether it's empty or full or that trailer may be reloaded as quick as it's unloaded, is at a given door in a given spot for that reason.

I've also stated in the past and I'm sticking with this 100 percent: Until a tenant is identified and shared with this -- this Board and shared with the city for any kind of an approval, I do not attend to the construction process of building but half of 191 door spots so 90-some, 95 spots, doors, actual doors, is what I believe, even less than that, in my opinion of 30 years, whenever they need it for this facility.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$  KOLLAR: So what would be the dwell time of a cab in the facility?

MR. FORD: Less than an hour.

MR. KOLLAR: Okay. Thank you.

MR. FORD: By the -- by the time they back up their live load, unload the door or, you know, roll the door open, we don't have what we call "lumpers." That doesn't exist here. This is a pure warehouse operation so they don't have additional help. You know, finding these type employees sometimes is difficult too so they need to use and utilize their labor and that's why a lot of -- lot of major

corporations that make consumer goods actually outsource this in the form of what we call a "3PL business," a third-party logistics firm, or a "4PL" and they outsource that and those companies are more efficient in cost operations from equipment, from -- from -- from the forklifts, from operations, from software, from tracking, from billing, from all that kind of stuff as well as the amount of labor that they have in the warehouse. They don't want even one more employee in the warehouse than they have to have because it costs money.

MS. QUINN: While we're on the topic of the facility itself, may I ask two questions?

CHAIRPERSON REINA: Please.

MS. QUINN: Okay.

So two things and we didn't -- I didn't have this information when you were --

MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC: Can you speak up, please?

MS. QUINN: So I didn't have this information the first time that you came. You were waiting for the report. I've done some research since then and, in your history in your other warehouses, do these trailers, the back ends of the trucks, are they stacked against the building itself or are they stacked

-- in other words, are they stacked off the building or are they stacked to the building?

MR. FORD: When the -- a live load comes in?

MS. QUINN: I'm not talking about a truck comes in and a truck is leaving that day. I'm talking about trailers pulled up to the side of the building, do you have trailers that are parked in front of these bays for longer than it is just to turnaround? So --

MR. FORD: Yes. Yes. There's a -- the trailers dwell as well so, when I refer to this closed-loop system, the trailer is empty as well --

MS. QUINN: Okay.

MR. FORD: -- may be needed for a future load but the maximum you can have, at any point, is 241.

MS. QUINN: Well, what I'm saying is -- so those trailers --

MR. FORD: Yes.

MS. QUINN: -- are all stacked -- and I'm going to repeat. They're stacked to the building or they're stacked in parking spots or whatever and then brought to the doors? And the reason why I'm asking this is because, in doing some research, some safety research, you know, when you leave the trailers up to

building, that just creates more space if there is a fire, God forbid, for fire officials to get to the building because they have to hurdle all these trucks so what I'm saying is: What is your proposal? Are you proposing to leave -- you know, is this how you run your operation like where the -- the trailers stay to the building and they're stacked up or do they -- are the trailers there on site but not to the doors?

MR. FORD: Well, they're not stacked up.

I mean, they would be backed up to the door.

CHAIRPERSON REINA: Yeah. I think the question is: Are those full spots going to be taken up by a tractor-trailer that's going to effectively extend the length it would take a firefighter, the fire department, to get to in case of a fire? I mean, are they going to stay there or, to your point, they're transient? And understanding you've got dedicated parking spots, for 50-some-odd spots for the dedicated parking --

MR. FORD: Correct.

CHAIRPERSON REINA: You've got 191. Does the facility or is the proposal to allow those 191 a time of -- that they're not being used for trucks to sit in front of that spot?

MS. QUINN: Right.

MR. FORD: They're available for that 1 2 but, if you look at the rendering here, this is what I 3 envision, in years of doing this, what the operation 4 would look like, never being full. It is not a -- not 5 a facility that's there to store trailers, empty or 6 full, because that equipment is not making money so the 7 reality is that the trucking firm or the 3PL or the 8 owner --9 MS. QUINN: Okay. 10 MR. FORD: Procter & Gamble, I've used as 11 an example before; they don't want their trailers sitting there. They want it on the street being --12 13 MS. QUINN: But you have -- I'm saying, you have spaces on the site --14 15 MR. FORD: Yes. 16 MS. QUINN: -- using these trailers. 17 MR. FORD: Correct. 18 MS. QUINN: So what I'm saying is, is 19 that where they go? Like, if they're not being 20 utilized --21 MR. FORD: Yes. 2.2 MS. QUINN: -- they're there? 23 MR. FORD: They have to be in a spot. 24 MS. QUINN: Okay. So they are not to the 25 building?

MR. FORD: The building could be considered spots, Councilwoman.

MS. QUINN: So I mean --

MR. SYLVESTER: You've got to assume -you've got to assume, based on what you're hearing,
that those -- all those bays can potentially have a
trailer in that spot.

MS. QUINN: Okay. So I'm just going to recommend to the Board that's a future consideration. I think, from a safety perspective, we need to just be mindful of that, that there -- you know, we need to take that into consideration. Okay? Because it's a big distance that the trucks have to be away and, if they can't have any break in the spaces and everything is trailers and something happens -- so I'm just throwing it out there right now.

MR. MURPHY: Yeah. Chris, I think, when I brought up the fact that there were 50 additional spots to drop it, an empty or a full trailer, yeah, when the fire department looked at this, they could probably assume that every single door, 191, might have a trailer in front of it plus the 50 extra to drop so I'm sure, if that was a problem for the fire department, they would have notified us.

MS. QUINN: I'm bringing it up because I

think -- I want to make sure that we are taking every precaution when you're looking at an application.

MR. MURPHY: Sure.

MS. QUINN: The other thing is I think we need to double-check what the flow to the site is too so I don't know if you've had a chance to check what the actual flow to the site is but I think you need to just double-check that as well. God forbid there's a fire or something there. Let's figure out what the flow is to make sure that it can handle. I am not saying it on the trucks.

MR. MURPHY: Right.

MS. QUINN: I'm saying I want to make sure that they have a flow.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$  FORD: Councilwoman, we -- we are far from designing the fire --

MS. QUINN: I understand. I'm just putting this out there right now.

MR. FORD: Oh. No. I hear you loud and clear, yeah.

MS. QUINN: I want it on the record and I -- I don't want time to go by and I don't want to forget about it.

MR. GOUIN: And that's for the water department, those volume metrics.

MR. FORD: Right. Yeah. 1 2 MR. GOUIN: So we do have -- yeah. 3 have --4 MR. FORD: Yeah. You have to do a flow 5 test, absolutely have to do a flow test with the water 6 department. 7 MR. GOUIN: We engage the water 8 department. We have a review letter from them. 9 working out some of those conditions in that review letter and, obviously, that's going to be a condition 10 11 of any approval. 12 MS. QUINN: So we'll get it in our 13 packet? 14 MR. GOUIN: You should already have it. 15 It was part of our review letters provided to the Board. What I'm saying is, we have to get approval 16 17 from that outside agency in addition to approval from 18 the Board. 19 MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC: Can't hear 20 anything. 21 MR. PARKER: We have a letter from the 22 water department and the water department, for the 23 moment, according to that letter, if they don't comply 24 with it, they're working on it and they can comply in

25

the near future.

MR. GOUIN: Correct.

2.2

MS. QUINN: All right. So I just want to make sure that we had confirmation of that.

MR. GOUIN: Absolutely.

MS. QUINN: Okay.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$  GOUIN: Just a few more of those and then we can jump over to Mr. Gibson.

Q. I'm going to skip the ones that have to do with rail because I think we talked about that already.

Most importantly, I think, on this list is the LEED Gold Platinum certification. Is that something that this building is going to participate in?

A. The question is that a prominent versus

-- I believe in that proponent. Every building that I
have done in the past 15 years or have been responsible
for is designed, even a speculative basis, to meet
minimum LEED standards. What we do is then we work
with the tenant, when they're identified, to meet their
goals. A lot of corporations have different goals and
standards.

To understand LEED certification, there's minimum standards and then there are different levels.

As somebody educated and understanding of this

question, there's Gold, there's Platinum, there's Silver, there's different levels, and that, you know, has evolved with different things. We always put LED lighting in the warehouses now which is a minimum standard. We always allow for future electric vehicles in all the auto parking which is a minimum standard. We do a lot of these things up front as practice in our -- in our -- in our development and it will be shown reflectively in all the plans that will be submitted for a building permit.

And then the tenant, a lot of times, to get to a higher standard, whether it's Gold, Silver or Platinum, it's different technologies, different materials, different things that they use within -- within the building within the walls of the warehouse that would actually identify whether it meets a higher standard of Gold, Silver or Platinum but, yes, we use that in all -- in our building material.

MR. SYLVESTER: And that application process, would you be starting that earlier in the project or after it's developed?

MR. FORD: You mean, the LEED's aspect of it? The basic LEED's standard would come with the building permit drawings and -- and have those standards, correct, so then, to hit the higher

standards in certification, it depends typically what you do within the building, not the exterior of the building or the roof or the walls or, you know, future EV spot, that kind of stuff.

Q. Just two more, I think, that are not duplicative: "Will the applicant be required to obtain a major access permit?" That would be from the NJDOT.

MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC: Here we go.

- Q. The answer to that question is no because we're not located on a state highway.
  - A. Right.

Q. Then, there was a question about: "Will this project be similar to the Harry Shupe Industrial Park in Wharton, New Jersey?"

Frankly, none of us were familiar with that so...

A. Yeah. We -- we saw some pictures of it but not familiar with that -- with that development.

CHAIRPERSON REINA: Can you restate that question? I didn't --

MR. GOUIN: Yeah. The question was 67:

"Is it intended to be similar to the Harry Shupe

Industrial Park in Wharton, New Jersey?" So none of us

were familiar with it either but the rest of that

questions, I think, on that final sheet are largely

duplicative of what we've already addressed so up to 1 2 the Board how you want to run this but I was --3 MR. PARKER: Question about the rail before you leave? 4 5 MR. GOUIN: Yeah. Absolutely. MR. PARKER: We've been talking about 16 6 7 cars that come in or less at any given time with 8 product. 9 MR. FORD: Yes. 10 MR. PARKER: How many cars are going to 11 leave with product? 12 MR. FORD: That, once again, is up to the 13 user of their operation. It would be identified with -- with a business permit before -- before they moved 14 15 in. There is a possibility always of outbound rail. Yes, there is, just like --16 17 MS. QUINN: I think that's a huge impact. We need to talk about that in traffic, I understand, 18 but I think that's something huge that's been a 19 20 question that, really, we need an answer. 21 MR. FORD: The main -- one of the main 22 reasons why is because, you know, there are, in the 23 rail world, finished products that do go out. It is a 24 possibility so, if we want more clarity on that,

25

Councilwoman and -- I'm sorry.

MR. SYLVESTER: That's Dr. Parker.

MR. FORD: Okay. Mr. Parker. Sorry.

Sorry, Doctor. I couldn't see you over

there.

MR. PARKER: That's okay. I couldn't see you either.

MR. FORD: It is, yes. That is a possibility. Understanding how the NYS&W, specific to this project, runs their operations, their goal is, once again, as I said earlier, every time you touch it, it costs money so the reality is that, until all 16 spots are unloaded, potentially reloaded, okay, and -- and that's dealt with, they're -- they're not in the business of switching one car or two cars at a time having rail operations.

MS. QUINN: I think the question that -that -- I understand what he's saying because I have
the same question. If there's a big difference and I
think what we need to have a clear understanding of is:
Okay. It's great to get four trucks off the road. You
testified that four trucks is one railcar. That's
great so I guess the question is: Okay. Now, we have
four trucks with the stuff in the warehouse so the
question is: How many trucks are taking it out versus
is there a percentage of all of that that's going to go

rail to rail so a truck is it not going to hit the road? So that is, I think, what we are looking for clarification on. Is it rail to rail? You know, what's the percent of the anticipated --

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$  FORD: It would be -- it would be very little.

MR. GOUIN: Well, we -- we already agreed that -- I think we already agreed that we were going to we're going to provide that information so --

MS. QUINN: I just wanted to clarify because I know that has a mask on so people might not be able to hear what he asked and I just want to be clear that everybody can understand the question that he asked.

MR. GOUIN: And I totally agree. I don't just -- I don't want to spend so much time on it because we -- we recognize we didn't provide you that information and I do want to get through our traffic testimony.

So that's really all I -- those are the questions from the first hearing. Believe it or not, we got through the whole list and I know we're -- we're running up against, you know, the timeline. We want to give the public a chance to speak but I do think we can get through Mr. Gibson's direct in about 20 minutes and

then everybody would be available.

CHAIRPERSON REINA: So we've got 15 minutes, roughly until we open it up to the public. I think, first, we need to open it up to the Board to make sure that we've satisfied all the questions for Mr. Ford directly and then we can talk.

I think my -- my hesitation is: I don't want you to rush through the traffic piece.

MR. GOUIN: Fair enough.

CHAIRPERSON REINA: 15 minutes to cover this --

MR. SYLVESTER: And you'd also have to factor in questions from the Board itself so everything that goes forward from that point on takes away from the public time slot we allocated.

MR. GOUIN: Understood.

CHAIRPERSON REINA: And just given the -given the time that we're at right now, I want to make
sure that we have enough time for, both, the vestibule
and the audience.

MR. GOUIN: Fair enough.

CHAIRPERSON REINA: So if we could, what I'd like to do is, Diane, maybe just do a roll call for any remaining questions for Mr. Ford at this time?

MS. KATZENSTEIN: Mr. Kollar?

MR. KOLLAR: Not at this time. 1 2 MS. KATZENSTEIN: Mr. Murphy? 3 MR. MURPHY: Just one. Just a 4 clarification, there is no manufacturing going on in 5 this building, right? MR. FORD: Manufacturing? No. It's not 6 7 intended for manufacturing. It's not, no. 8 MR. MURPHY: Okay. When you talk about 9 "packing," is that another term for repackaging? In 10 other words, if you break down a skid, you take part of 11 the product out and then you co-mingle it with some other skid, do you call that "packaging"? 12 13 MR. FORD: That could be a repackage, 14 yes. 15 MR. MURPHY: Repackage? 16 MR. FORD: Yes. 17 MR. MURPHY: Okay. That's all I have. 18 MS. KATZENSTEIN: Councilman Hertzberg? MR. HERTZBERG: Yeah. 19 20 The only thing and it's not really a 21 I just wanted you to address, when you look 2.2 at the rail situation, is that, it looks to me like the 23 rail crosses Route 15, either comes in under the overpass under -- you know, under Route 15 and then 24

goes out over Route 15. I want to make sure or, at

25

least, find out, if there's ever a possibility of that blocking the road while it's being unloaded? It looks like because the transfer station is on -- on the end of the loop, the back would be where all of that gets done but I just want to make sure.

2.2

MR. GOUIN: Yeah. So I think what we're going to do after speaking with Mr. Ford is, obviously, we're going to go to public comment, I think, after this, right, so we're going to skip over the traffic for -- for tonight and come back with that. I think what we'd like to do is, when we do bring back our traffic expert, that we also either incorporate some of this rail testimony into the traffic testimony or we have a separate expert, if necessary, but that would be addressed at the same time so all of the train questions and rail questions tonight, fair enough, message received and we will address those in the future.

CHAIRPERSON REINA: Thank you.

MS. KATZENSTEIN: Mr. Skei?

MR. SKEI: I have no further questions.

MS. KATZENSTEIN: Mr. Sylvester?

MR. SYLVESTER: No questions.

MS. KATZENSTEIN: Councilwoman Quinn?

MS. QUINN: I just have one question at

this time: So I know that, at the end of -- at the end of the last session, we gave you the questions and I appreciate the fact that you answered them but, in the interest of all transparency and the interest of being able to get this information out to anyone that's interested, is it possible for you to put in writing the answers that you gave us here so that it can be posted with the application so that anyone that has concerns or anyone that's not here this evening can access the answers to those questions from our Planning Board site so it can be added as, you know, part of the package moving forward?

MR. GOUIN: Yes. So yeah. So we -- we do have a court reporter. We're going to get transcripts of both, the first hearing made and this hearing, and we'll submit those to the Board.

MS. QUINN: All right. I just to make sure. I wanted to ask. Just make sure we get it on the site so that people have access to the answers that you provided based on --

MR. GOUIN: Sure. Absolutely.

MS. QUINN: And I -- that's all I have at

this point.

2.2

MS. KATZENSTEIN: Dr. Parker?

MR. PARKER: No questions at this time.

I'll wait until later. 1 2 MS. KATZENSTEIN: Mr. Gall? 3 MR. GALL: No questions. 4 MS. KATZENSTEIN: Mr. Toscano? 5 MR. TOSCANO: I have just a couple of 6 questions. 7 Just to confirm, Mr. Ford, there's not 8 going to be any hazardous material of any kind stored on this site, right? 10 MR. FORD: No hazardous material, no. 11 MR. SKEI: And is there any way, you 12 know, of verifying that to the tenants that may come on 13 that that won't occur? Is that part of the process or 14 something that's part of... 15 MR. FORD: Yeah. That's -- that's -they need to meet with the fire department as well 16 17 before they, first of all, approve their operation. 18 You know, there are different aerosols, those type of 19 things, used in different types of packaging and stuff 20 and it will also be approved by the fire department, 21 100 percent, for the tenants that will have occupied 2.2 the building and they have regular inspections of that as well. 23 24 MR. SKEI: Very good. I just wanted to

25

get clarity on that.

MR. FORD: Just -- just as much as

Councilman Murphy talked about the fire suppression

system, the fire system and the top of the rack, that's

something that the fire department regularly reviews,

approves of their design and manages for -- for a

safety issue. I have seen it where some warehouses

have tried to stack it too high. It's causing problems

to sprinkle that. The head cannot work in a correct

fashion but the fire department has regular access to

ensure that, you know, no hazardous and we, as owners,

do not want any hazardous materials of any form or

fashion stored on the property.

MS. QUINN: And just to be clear, the -- any applicant that comes that wants to use, you know, the proposed warehouse --

MR. FORD: Yes.

MS. QUINN: -- they cannot just call you up one day and say, "Hey. I'm bringing my stuff" because they need to go through every single thing in front of the Board with all the reports, with everything and they all need to be individually approved so I just want to be clear. There is a whole other process and every single -- we have warehouses all over White Lake and all of them follow the exact same procedure so they don't just build a warehouse

out, you know, like, everyone -- they don't just build them and invite people in?

MR. FORD: No.

MS. QUINN: Every single tenant has to come through the Board.

MR. FORD: They need to complete -- I read the Sparta ordinance. In the rules and the regulations, they need to meet with the police department, the fire department, the water department, all utilities or have a group forum to discuss all these things. They need an operating plan. They can't just walk in and say "We have no operating plan. We're just going to throw the stuff in the warehouse." They need to come with an operating plan to present to you ahead of time. That is very -- it's 100 percent common and typical in most communities and I know it exists here.

MR. SKEI: And then, can you just clarify the hours of operation for the trucking end and, again, on the rail side of the business?

MR. FORD: So -- so the rail, once again, presently, NYS&W, is all I can go by, what they do right now. They only switch in and out a maximum of 16 cars in and out for our specific facility here, would be from 5:00 p.m. until 5:00 a.m. and this is not

designed nor intended to be a 24-hour operation. There's always a possibility -- I can't until we identify the tenant and bring it before you, bring that tenant before you, to identify whether you're going to have one shift, two shifts or, you know, proposed, a 24-hour operation which most warehouses don't do. can't find the people to work. They can't find them. They don't want people working -- there's no more such thing as a graveyard shift for the amount of wages they pay.

MR. SKEI: That's all the questions I have.

MS. KATZENSTEIN: Chairman Reina?

CHAIRPERSON REINA: No. I think, at this time, I don't have any additional questions for Mr.

Ford, anyway, so I appreciate your testimony and, you know, with regards to the operations which, again, I know is limited right now in terms of what we've got site-wide in terms of the types of tenants or things like that so I appreciate your testimony.

MR. FORD: Thank you, Chairman.

CHAIRPERSON REINA: So what I would probably propose, at this point -- so it's 8:50 now and you want to Lee, at least, a minimum of an hour. Why.

Don't we take a five-minute break. Let everybody

recess and stretch their legs for 5 minutes and then we'll reconvene and open it up to the public.

2.2

MR. COHEN: Mr. Chairman, the procedure that's been followed in the past, as indicated by Mr. Collins, was that the two attorneys that made appearances would get to ask -- we have not cross-examined, neither myself nor Mr. Dash.

Mr. Ford, I'd like that opportunity. I don't know if that's going to be part of the public, if that's going to be separate or how the Board wants to handle it?

 $\label{eq:mr.def} \text{Mr. DASH:} \quad \text{Mr. Chairman, if I -- if I}$  can? And I --

MR. GOUIN: Mr. Collins, sorry if I'm jumping in but we certainly intend to make all of the witnesses available for cross-examination, specifically for Mr. Cohen and Mr. Dash. Our intention, we want to give the public an opportunity but, probably, after we give the public an opportunity to speak, there's going to be hearing where we just go through cross-examination of people who have not been cross-examined. I believe our architect has not been cross-examined either so we are making them all available but, certainly, Mr. Cohen, I don't want to deprive you the opportunity. We're intending to make them available.

MR. EISENBERG: Mr. Chairman? Tom Eisenberg, Sparta resident.

You mentioned this hearing, this meeting, will end at 10:00. I think most of the questions are going to be about traffic and so the proposed -- the proposed --

MR. COLLINS: Sir? Sir? We closed, sir.

MR. EISENBERG: Look at a traffic report.

MR. COLLINS: Sir? Sir? Sir?

MR. EISENBERG: What?

MR. COLLINS: You're not an attorney so please raise your right hand.

THOMAS EISENBERG, first having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

MR. COLLINS: And please state your name and address.

MR. EISENBERG: Tom Eisenberg, 128 Sussex Mills Road, Sparta, New Jersey.

I'm wondering; can we extend this meeting tonight to 1030 and give them the next half hour to present the traffic report because most of the questions are going to be traffic and they're just going to say, "Oh. Hear our traffic. Wait to hear it." I think, in the interest of efficiency, it might make sense to do that, sir. Just my suggestion.

Thank you.

2.2

MR. KOLLAR: That's not going to be enough time from the traffic because I have lots of questions about it. The Board cannot get through the traffic report in less than an hour with allowing the public time to speak.

MR. EISENBERG: Okay. You're on the Board?

MR. KOLLAR: Yes.

MR. EISENBERG: Okay.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$  KOLLAR: So we decide to go your way, then the public will not be allowed to speak tonight.

CHAIRPERSON REINA: Yeah.

MR. KOLLAR: I don't think that's a good solution.

MR. EISENBERG: Okay. I understand.

Thank you. Well, that's good. You should ask a lot of traffic questions.

CHAIRPERSON REINA: So, Mr. Cohen, I guess, directing it back to your question with regards to cross-examination, I would agree. I think -- we're not going to have time this evening for the direct cross-examination. I absolutely do want to open it up and -- and we are more than happy to have yourself Mr. Dash, any of the attorneys, cross-examination any of

the witnesses. What I'd like to recommend is maybe, you know, we -- we start that at in next meeting? I just -- I want to be cognizant of the --

MR. COHEN: Mr. -- Mr. Chairman, I have no problem with that. I just -- I know this Board and I don't know how many of you have been long-time members. This is an application that's going to take many meetings.

CHAIRPERSON REINA: Agreed.

MR. COHEN: I don't know if the Board is considering any special meetings. I just raise that issue but, you know, you're talking about probably another half a dozen meetings.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$  EISENBERG: I have another suggestion, Chairman, if I may.

MR. GOUIN: We need to break --

MR. EISENBERG: Given all the people here and outside, can the next meeting perhaps be at the elementary school auditorium next to the library?

Because it can handle more people and there's a lot of citizens outside that probably would like to be inside so, if you could possible move it to a bigger forum, that would be greatly appreciated.

Thank you, sir.

(Recess taken)

```
A N T H O N Y R A G N O, first having been duly
1
 2
    sworn, testified as follows:
 3
                  MR. RAGNO: Anthony Ragno, R-A-G-N-O, 35
 4
    North Church Road, Sparta.
 5
                  MR. COLLINS: Please go ahead.
 6
                  MR. RAGNO: So the question was just to
7
    clarify more about the operation of the railroad from
 8
    5:00 p.m. to 5:00 a.m. I think that the question for a
    lot of people is: Is that the only time that the
    tractor-trailers would be coming in and out or is that
10
11
    going to be 24 hours?
                  MR. FORD: No. The tractor-trailers
12
13
    typically would come in and out during the day.
14
                  MR. RAGNO: Right. So then -- okay.
15
                  MR. FORD: Yes.
                  MR. RAGNO: Okay. Just wanted to clarify
16
17
    that question.
18
                  Thank you.
19
                  CHAIRPERSON REINA: Thank you, Mr. Ragno.
20
                  MS. QUINN:
                              Next up: Scott Fadden.
                  MR. JOSEPH H.: Yes.
21
                                        Ηi.
22
                  My name is Joseph --
23
                  MS. QUINN: Are you Scott Fadden?
24
                  MR. JOSEPH H.: He's handsomer.
25
                  MS. QUINN: Excuse me, sir. Sir?
                                                      What's
```

1 your name? I'll add you to the list. What's your
2 name?

2.2

MR. JOSEPH H.: Joseph H.

MR. COLLINS: Sir, please raise your right hand.

S C O T T  $\,$  F A D D E N, first having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

MR. COLLINS: And please state your name, spell your last name and give us your address.

MR. FADDEN: Scott Fadden, F-A-D-D-E-N, 3
Terry Lynn Drive, Sparta, New Jersey.

MR. COLLINS: Please go ahead.

MR. FADDEN: Okay. I'm just having a hard time understanding. We're building a warehouse with 190 bays and we have no idea who the tenant is, what the product is and any of that. I mean, how could you answer any question here tonight without knowing any of those answers to -- to who -- to who the tenant is and what the product is?

MR. FORD: And once again, this is a preapproval for the shell and core of the warehouse
operation. There's other processes to come that are
managed by the Board, the city and the others, before a
tenant occupies, before the type of exact product they
use and all that. That is all a future approval

process so this is just the beginning of the process.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

The reason why, in the warehouse business across the country, we need to build a speculative type development, building, we need to get the main part of it up is because, by the time that the warehouser, operator, consumer, company, makes a decision they need warehouse space in this location for a certain reason, we don't have enough time to -- to -- to build it and deliver that product so we need to do a spec warehouse just like in residential, just like in retail. you did the retail center across 15 north of Wilson Road. The developer didn't know every -- every, you know, operator of the restaurant and those type of things. You need to be approval ahead of time, have the speculative building available and then work with the city on the next steps of identifying who that -that tenant may be, the type of product and get that approved at a -- at a future date so, part of that is, those who are in the trade and understand it is that, the lead time nowadays, because of supply and balances of getting steel, are way longer than -- that it used to be than we did even a year ago. It takes almost twice as long to get steel, roof decking, bar joists, those type of things and roofing materials, you know, TPL, insulation, those kind of things, the lead times

on getting that, because it's not available in the market across the country, are drastically longer so we needed to get all that approved so it's, once again, a step-by-step process so, one of which, we're here asking you tonight, is a preliminary site plan approval and then there are three more processes -- actually, more than that, ultimately, with all the DOT approvals, with the DEP approvals that we need to get, it's just a puzzle. It's a step-by-step and just like -- just like, you know, the operation, I talked about the warehouse; it's like -- like a ballet. It's a very intricate, you know, plan that will be presented in a big manual to this Board before anything is approved and actually allowed to move in so I am risking millions and millions of dollars to build this and not even having approval that they would approve the potential tenant. We want to put the building but that's what, unfortunately, we have to do in our business nowadays because of the fact that, as I -- I hope nobody works for Procter & Gamble in the room but I use that as an example, Councilwoman, because they're a big consumer goods company. They make a lot of things that we use every day in healthcare, beauty care, that type of stuff.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

When they are ready to say "We need a

warehouse," they get contacted through our marketing group, our brokerage for us, direct through a direct relationship, and say "We need it in two months" or "three months." Well, to build this, as you know, it going to take 15 months once we actually get our fourth approval, the building permit, before it can even actually break ground and that is also not indicative of the DEP process we need to go through for stormwater management, for -- for -- for all the different aspects of the project, in general, so this is way early on before we identify exactly, you know, who it is but that will be...

MR. FADDEN: Yeah. But you're answering these questions tonight as if you know that is true, you know, all your answers to the questions tonight. I mean, you don't know and when you're desperate to rent it to a tenant, you're going to rent it to whoever, you know, you can. You know, it's a gamble building two warehouses with 191 bays and then, when you gamble and you lose, you get desperate and you start renting out to anybody you want, anybody you can and that's the --you know. That's the worry for us is we don't know. The biggest worry in life is the great unknown.

MR. FORD: Sure.

MR. FADDEN: Right or wrong?

```
1
                  MR. FORD: Totally agree.
 2
                  MR. FADDEN: So that's our problem.
 3
    We're out here. We don't know and guess what? We've
 4
    got to live with all this. We've got to live with is
 5
    every day.
 6
               (Applause)
 7
                  MR. FADDEN: And that's the truth.
8
    That's the only answer that was true tonight.
                  Thank you.
10
               (Applause)
11
                  MS. QUINN: Next person up is Bill Rizzo.
    Bill Rizzo?
12
13
                  MR. COLLINS: Mr. Rizzo, please raise
    your right hand. You're right hand, please.
14
15
                  MR. RIZZO: I'll raise my left.
16
                  MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC: Oh. Jesus.
17
                  MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC: My God.
18
            R I Z Z O, first having been duly sworn,
    BILL
    testified as follows:
19
                  MR. COLLINS: And please spell your last
20
21
    name and give is your address.
22
                  MR. RIZZO: R-I-Z-Z-O, 45 Mill Brook
23
    Road, New Vernon, New Jersey.
24
                  MR. COLLINS: I'm sorry. Please state
25
    your name.
```

MR. RIZZO: Philip Rizzo.

MR. COLLINS: Thank you.

Please go ahead.

2.2

MR. RIZZO: I think it's important, as I sit and I listen to this, I'm a former resident of Sparta for 11 years. I lived in an area near the Sparta Athletic Club which was south of the proposed area. Our main business office is up in Hamburg and, even though I moved out of Sparta now, even five years ago plus, many times I found myself going past the high school and snaking back through that way to get out to Route 94 because of the bottleneck traffic that was already existing from going from two lanes to one lane and this is even pre the Shop Rite development that's been built there and so I've watched this happen along the time and so, three generations in, I am not antidevelopment.

That is our family's business as well.

The three industrial buildings at the base of Route 15 and Route 80 where Grainger was, for a number of years, our family built back when I was just born and so I'm not opposed to development and things like that but, as I watch this and as a former resident understanding the traffic, I think what the major concern here is -- just going to be echoed now -- like the other gentleman just

spoke before me, is the great unknown and I feel that the pulse in the room tonight is the anxiety of not knowing what this is going to do to families.

People move out to Sussex County, including myself when we moved out here in '04, for space, for green, for this town and I guess my question is not in a derogatory way but -- but what would be the purpose, in a community like Sparta as this being the identified location, when there are a lot of areas off of Route 80, even from 15 towards Pennsylvania, a more, you know, open thoroughfare than up Route 15 and near Route 94 with all this residential where there's already a congestion issue? I guess that's my -- my basic question for tonight: How did we identify this location?

(Applause)

2.2

MR. FORD: Number one is: Because the use had been approved for years.

MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC: Nope.

MR. FORD: It has been and it's been an existing, you know, construction materials operation for, what I have been told, you know, well over 50 years. It used to be, actually, a mine as well so when you think about the amount of truck traffic, which our expert will get his traffic impact study, that amount

of truck traffic that presently comes in and out and with other users but I'll be specific to our property and the area, with, you know, dust and sand and those type of things, we truly believe that this is a much more cleaner and efficient operation to -- to the community. We believe that it's going to create a great tax base for the community. It will create, you know, jobs and opportunities for the community so I --I'm the first to understand and I've been doing this for so long that, you know, the unknown is scary. Total acknowledge and admit that and understand it but that's how I feel as a person, an individual as well, totally understand that change is scary for people. It's scary for me too in life but, as you said, you know, your business and your family, we understand that, you know, it's effective of what we, as consumers, how we buy, how we spend and all those types of things, an evolution of supply chain.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So, ultimately, to answer your question about the type, the type is because I am a big believer that rail is a big benefit for reduction in traffic and emissions and those types of things. You know, our federal government alone has mandates that are coming out. We can't control those. I can't. Your Senator, your Congressperson can control this but there are

mandates coming out about -- about the emissions reduction standards, those types of things, and I have worked with the railroads for over 30 years and I'm a big believer that they are a benefit for reduction in our -- you know, the dire need for roads being rebuilt, widened, all those type of things and you're right. At points, I drive it all the time now, presently, since the past year, you know, that I've been involved is that understanding that -- that we get further down south towards 80, it gets worse. You're right.

MR. RIZZO: Sure.

2.2

And so -- so just, the rail, is the ultimate answer to that question is the rail and you mentioned the federal and so, as you mentioned that,

I'm currently a candidate to run for Congress in the

7th District which coverers Sparta and this area and so

I -- I would like to keep a close watch on this, not only because it's going to affect my commute to the Hamburg office that we have, not so much as a resident anymore but to look out for my future constituents.

So thank you for that. I appreciate it. (Applause)

MS. QUINN: Next is Jill Snyder [sic] --

MS. SYDOR: Sydor.

MS. QUINN: Sydor. Sorry. S-Y-D-O-R.

```
1
    I'm so sorry.
 2
                   MS. SYDOR: It's okay. Yep.
 3
                   MR. COLLINS: Please raise your right
    hand.
 4
            S Y D O R, first having been duly sworn,
 5
    testified as follows:
 6
 7
                   MR. COLLINS: Please state your name and
 8
    spell your last name and give us your address.
 9
                   MS. SYDOR: Jill Sydor, S-Y-D-O-R, on 25
10
    Fox Hollow Road, Sparta.
11
                   MR. SYLVESTER: Just a little closer to
    the microphone.
12
13
                   MS. SYDOR: Is that better? Should I
14
    repeat that or are we good?
15
                   CHAIRPERSON REINA: No, I think you're --
16
    you're good.
17
                   Specific question?
18
                   MS. SYDOR: Yes.
                   The historical traffic flow from that
19
20
    area versus what the forecasted traffic flow is for
    that area, is that information -- I would think,
21
2.2
    historical, we would have an idea, like, the volume
23
    that goes through that area historically?
24
                   CHAIRPERSON REINA: Yeah. Those -- so as
25
    part of the traffic assessment, as part of the traffic
```

study, we'll hear, in the future evidence, both, from the Sparta Police Department with regards to traffic flow expectations as well as the impact study that was done for -- for this project.

MS. SYDOR: Okay.

2.2

CHAIRPERSON REINA: So that -- that is our next -- our next witness, the traffic component, so, if it's a traffic-related question, I would ask you to hold off just until we get to the evidence of it so is your question: Do we have an existing idea of what that traffic looks like today or is it more implied towards what this will look like if this project were to be included?

MS. SYDOR: The next question is the delta on traffic volumes so, yes, do we understand what historical has been and do we have a line of sight into what is forecast? Because I would think forecasting would be one of the underlying assumptions for design and that's my question.

And, yes, you mentioned that we had to wait for a traffic study so I thought, if we had the people here, I might as well, you know, take the opportunity.

Thank you.

MR. KOLLAR: The traffic study is

available on our website in PDF form so the public can read it.

2.2

MS. SYDOR: I -- I have gone through the traffic study and I'll tell you; it is dense and that's why it's helpful; if the people are here, I take the opportunity.

an updated one. I would ask -- so it's a great question and I think it's a question we all have with regards to what is the traffic impact going to be today versus what it is in the future if this goes through?

MS. SYDOR: Right.

a lot of the questions that have been raised throughout the community, I know, we've have a ton as it relates to the Board in terms of how is the impact analysis done? What does it mean? What does that mean for the residents? That then leads into the environmental component so, respectfully, I would ask that if -- if we're going to talk about the traffic component of it, let's raise those questions after we had an opportunity for the witnesses to present their evidence.

MS. SYDOR: Okay.

Thank you.

MS. QUINN: Okay. Next up: Beth

Broderick.

2.2

MR. COLLINS: Beth, will you raise your right hand?

B E T H B R O D E R I C K, first having been duly sworn, testified as follows:

MR. COLLINS: Please state your name, spell your last name and give us your address.

MS. BRODERICK: Sure.

Beth Broderick, B-R-O-D-R-I-C-K, 18 North Shore Trail, Sparta.

My question is: We keep hearing that you don't know who the tenants are going to be and what's going in there but my question is: Why are you building a warehouse if you don't know what's goes in and what happens if you don't fill it? Are we going to be stuck with a big, ugly eyesore right on Route 15 right in the middle of farmlands that have been there since dating back to when I moved here in 1979.

CHAIRPERSON REINA: So, Ms. Broderick, I would say that's -- so it's a general question with regards to whether or not or what's the purpose which, I think, Mr. Ford had addressed in the previous question.

MS. BRODERICK: But if it's going to stay vacant, are we going to be looking at this big, ugly

thing that's going to turn into a big graffiti collection and God knows what else. I mean, we --

CHAIRPERSON REINA: I don't know that anybody could make that argument or assessment right now without knowing -- I don't suspect Mr. Ford or anybody would invest in this sort of a property without having an idea or looking to actually get it so it's a useable piece of property.

I think, if -- if the question is: Is there potential for this to be left as an abandoned property where there is graffiti or things like that?

I -- I don't think that would be the case and that would be an issue, obviously, for the town as well.

MS. BRODERICK: So then, does the town have a plan to say "Hey"? You know, is there a vested interest? Like, "Okay. We're going to make sure that this doesn't become an eyesore if this big 880-whatever --

MR. COLLINS: Mr. Ford, why don't you try to answer Ms. Broderick's general question.

MR. FORD: Mr. Chairman, I think that's completely fair and thank you, Counselor Collins.

Number one is that, in our business, fully understand that there is demand tenfold of this type of space for just this immediate area and in this

part so we're very committed to and very much so confident that it will not stay vacant in nature but it does go on the tax roll when it's complete immediately so that starts, number one.

2.2

And then, number two, I am new to this community so, if anybody wants to say, you know, I'm from somewhere else, that's true. That's a fact.

Okay? My partners are not, though, and I think that a lot of people view this as an open field, a cornfield, whatever it might be or a cornfield. This has been, for dozens and dozens of years, an existing, ongoing mining operation. Our property -- thank you.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$  GOUIN: For the record, this is -- this is --

MR. FORD: Our proposed property for development --

MR. GOUIN: Hold on one second.

For the record, we're referring to A-1 which was marked at the previous hearing.

MR. FORD: The property that we are looking to develop, right, is a quarrying operation with an existing Redi-Mix plant. My partner is here.

Okay? That is -- before he and his partners even began operating there was, for years for -- what was the gentleman's name in the operation? Bob Walden, who was

a resident of this community forever, ran the same operation for years and years and years. This has been a permanent, ongoing operation in the form of construction materials so, really, it's a distribution point that's been forever and, when our traffic engineer, at a future date, Mr. Chairman, can explain all those details, you will understand that I am a firm believer, to everybody, in fairness, in this room, that change is scary but we will show you --

MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC: Oh. Stop.

MR. FORD: -- that -- that --

Can I finish, please? She asked a question and I'm answering her question.

There are plenty of trucks and activity that's happened for years and years and years that some of you or not all of -- maybe not all of you actually see or recognize that has been occurring here for a long time.

MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC: This is pandering, sir.

CHAIRPERSON REINA: Excuse me. Could you please wait your turn?

MR. FORD: This is, once again, our property, the only property that's in question here and it's been an ongoing operation. It's not an open

field. There are open field ahead of it. That, I understand. Okay. That is farmland that's closer to 15. That's not our property we have no rights of that, we have no discussion over that. Whatever happens to that property is up to this Board. It's not up to us. We have no control over that and we're not asking for any of that whatsoever.

2.2

MS. BRODERICK: Okay. So can I ask a follow-up question then? Since you've stated that you've done this, you've been doing this for quite a while, how long does it usually take for you to fill a building? Because you're going to build this and then tell us, well, it's going to take, you know, extra time to get the steel and extra time to do this and then, when you finally get tenants in there, it's going to modifications but it's still a big building and we don't know how long it's going to take and it's -- is this going to benefit the town and the taxes and stuff like that but it's still a big empty building and you don't know what's going to go in there and how long it's going to take.

MR. FORD: Well, once again, the -- the type use, as I said, consumer goods, that type of stuff.

MS. BRODERICK: Is it going to be Amazon?

122 Do you think? 1 2 MR. FORD: No. I'll be honest with you; 3 I -- I -- I worked with Amazon in other parts of the 4 country. I do not want them as a tenant for an 5 investment in this building. MR. HERTZBERG: Can we also be clear that 6 7 it's not a permitted use? 8 MR. FORD: It is. 9 MR. HERTZBERG: So it would not be allowed. 10 11 MR. FORD: I will state to everybody, as a developer, I'll publicly state to everybody: 12 This is 13 will not be an Amazon use with hundreds of vans coming 14 to your house or whatever. That's elsewhere or 15 whatever they allow to do that. I don't even know 16 around here where the last-mile facility is. 17 MS. QUINN: Not here. 18 MR. FORD: Okay. So I would --19 MR. HERTZBERG: A lot of questions are 20 surrounding it and I just want to say it again and be 21 very clear: Are not going to any approve tenant to

MR. FORD: Right.

MR. HERTZBERG: Storage, where things sit

point to sale warehouse.

22

23

24

25

there for however long time, that's all that's allowed.

```
That's all that's in a permitted use so, if any
1
 2
    warehouse was approved, it's got to be storage and it's
 3
    got to be wholesale. Any point of sale, UPS
 4
    warehouses, Amazon warehouses --
                  MR. FORD: Not permitted.
 5
                  MR. HERTZBERG: -- that's not going to be
 6
7
    permitted, not going to be allowed.
 8
                  MS. BRODERICK: Or like a Walmart
    distribution center or anything like that?
 9
                  MR. COLLINS: They're not allowed.
10
    are not allowed in the ordinance.
11
                  MS. BRODERICK: Okay. Great. Because I
12
13
    don't know. I work in healthcare. I don't know any of
    this stuff so...
14
15
                  MS. QUINN: Right. So that's why we want
    to make sure that everyone feels -- it is not permitted
16
17
    by our current ordinances. It's not allowed.
18
                  MS. BRODERICK: All right. I know you
19
    guys have a lot of other questions.
20
                  MS. QUINN: Next up is Shirley Miller.
21
    Shirley?
2.2
                  MR. COLLINS: Shirley, please raise your
23
    right hand.
    S H I R L E Y M I L L E R, first having been duly
24
25
    sworn, testified as follows:
```

MR. COLLINS: Please state your name and address and spell your last name, please.

MS. MILLER: Shirley Miller, M-I-L-E-R, 392 West Shore Trail, Sparta.

So a couple of questions just, in that, I feel like I've heard and I realize that's it's speculation. You don't know who the tenant is. I feel like I've heard some conflicting answers tonight to various testimony so one question would be: I know we've said that, you know, the fact that part of the movement of goods would be by railroad is the great panacea, better for the environment, etc. Is it a guarantee that a tenant coming in, while the rail, obviously, being right there is attractive, is that a requirement for the tenant that they have to use rail or could it be truck both directions?

MR. FORD: It is -- no, it is by the ordinance. No, there could be inbound truck and there could be outbound truck but it is by the ordinance guaranteed that there has to be a rail use for this property so that limits -- once again, I'll give you an example.

Somebody asked about Amazon. I'll give you all the -- to understand this. Amazon does not move any of their freight last-mile, storage, packaging

125 any of that kind of stuff, by conventional rail. 1 2 move by trailer truck which some people call "inner 3 motorail." Okay? But it's an intermodal truck 4 movement. This is not an intermodal facility. It's 5 not approved. It's not approved for those type of operations --6 7 MS. MILLER: Okay. 8 MR. FORD: -- but, that's, by the

ordinance, it's required that any tenant that goes in this building has to use the rail.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MS. MILLER: And is there a percentage of goods that have to move by rail?

MR. FORD: No, there's not a percentage that --

So they could do one rail MS. MILLER: car every six months and they would make the requirement?

MR. FORD: And, once again, when we get to the point of introducing a tenant to staff and to -to the Council, that is up to their approval to -- to, you know, to walk through that operation and understand the amount of rail volume.

MS. MILLER: Okay. Thank you.

I also heard, at some point during the testimony, that it was highly unlikely that this would

126 be a three-shift operation but, yet, the railcars 1 2 operate from 5:00 p.m. to 5:00 a.m. 3 MR. FORD: That's right. 4 MS. MILLER: And the trucks will move 5 during the day. 6 MR. FORD: That's correct. 7 MS. MILLER: So it's hard for me to see 8 how it won't be a 24-hour operation. 9 MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC: Because he's 10 lying. MR. FORD: Well, the railroad that 11 switches, as a said, from, like, 5:00 p.m. to 5:00 12 13 a.m., as I've mentioned, that's typically a two-man 14 crew. Now, in certain circumstances, maybe they have a 15 third person on for safety whatever it might be. That's up to the rail to determine but, when the 16 17 railroad is taking the empty cars out and moving the new ones in, there's nobody even in the warehouse. 18 19 They don't need access to the inside of the building. 20 They don't need a manager whatever to count those cars. 21 They have an agreement with the railroad and, very 2.2 often, they -- switch them when nobody is even present 23 on the premises whatsoever.

MS. MILLER: Okay. And that -- I

understand but if there's an increase in rail traffic

24

25

as a result of an additional 880,000-square-foot facility, that increase is going to be between 5:00 p.m. and 5:00 a.m. and then we'll have the truck that are delivering the goods or taking the goods during the day.

2.2

MR. FORD: That's my -- my experience is typical, correct.

MS. MILLER: Okay. One other clarification that got touched on with the last question. Does a logistics center or a fulfillment warehouse meet the spec of the ordinance or is that a -- fall under a different definition?

MR. FORD: No. Fulfillment warehouse would be Amazon and it does not fall under -- it is not approved and does not fall under the definition of a "warehouse."

MS. MILLER: Okay. Well, there's a lot of other types of fulfillment centers besides Amazon.

MR. COLLINS: The ordinance does not allow any -- any -- anything other than warehouse and wholesale distribution and does not allow retail distribution so there can be no delivery to the retail purchaser, the home, etc., from any warehouse in Sparta including this.

MS. MILLER: Okay. So it couldn't be --

128 it couldn't be UPS tractor-trailers --1 2 MR. FORD: No. 3 MS. MILLER: -- filling up --MR. FORD: Correct. 4 5 MS. MILLER: -- to move goods. MR. FORD: No. No. 6 7 MS. MILLER: Okay. 8 So -- and then, the other thing that I just want to --10 MR. COLLINS: Can we go back, madam --11 ma'am? You asked a question that implied an answer that I don't think Mr. Ford intended. You asked and 12 13 answered of whether there'd be increased rail traffic 14 and his prior testimony was, actually, that he's just 15 going to use the existing rail shipments and they will be stored on the nearby Sparta Junction and moved to 16 17 his site as need. He didn't actually state earlier that there would be new, additional train trips to the 18 area so, if you thought he was saying that, I'd like to 19 20 hear Mr. Ford confirm that he does not expect new, 21 additional train trips to Sparta as a result of his 2.2 warehouse. 23 MS. MILLER: Okay. I -- that's not what 24 I heard him say.

MR. COLLINS: Okay. So you agree that he

25

didn't -- so -- I'm sorry. I want to make sure what you ask him so...

2.2

MS. MILLER: Yeah. What I'm trying to understand is --

MR. COLLINS: What you asked him implied additional train trips to the area and I never heard him say there will be additional train trips to the area so maybe he could elaborate on how he --

MS. MILLER: Okay. My question was: If we don't know the tenant, potentially, there could be. We don't know the answer to that.

MR. FORD: Could be what?

MS. MILLER: Additional trains.

MR. COLLINS: She means additional trains moving on the rail to Sparta from another place just because you have a warehouse there.

MS. MILLER: I'm asking -- I don't -- I don't -- I don't -- do we agree it's not a question that we can answer if we don't know who the tenant is.

MR. FORD: The way this facility is designed and what it's capabilities are is not for full train loads. It's not. That -- that's hundreds of cars. The -- the job of the railroad is to move a "full-unit train" as they may call it, or a full train which is comprised of hundreds of cars, different types

of cars, boxcars, hopper cars, well cars, cars for grain, cars for corn syrup that's a, you know, closed tank, whatever it may be, cars for the propane that switches presently right now, cars for anything so all that is all manifest trains. It's all different types of car make up. That train is built elsewhere, Syracuse, down by the port, whatever it might be, built elsewhere. It's switched from, typically, the bigger railroads, like the CSX Newark, then it gets to the NYS&W. That is a transfer yard switch location which is not here. There are no --

MS. MILLER: Right.

MR. FORD: -- interchange points at the CSX or the NYS&W in Sparta, period. Then, they bring that large group of cars -- grouping of -- of cars, hundreds typically in nature. They bring it to Sparta Junction yard or a close-by facility, depends on the volumes, whatever that traffic may be, to serve multiple customer. We're only one of that -- of those customers.

Then, at night, from 5:00 p.m. to 5:00 a.m., they break down that train into the cars in the form of a maximum of 16 because that's what we have room for. They pull the empties out. They put the new ones in and, until all those 16 are empty, they don't

come back with a live load and, in fact, the reality is that, this type of operation, in my 30-year career of doing this, this isn't like a daily train coming to our building. This would be a weekly type train, maybe biweekly. I'm being completely transparent. You know, this is not, like, daily you're seeing full trains because of this development crossing anywhere on that track, crossing Demarest, crossing Lime Crest, crossing 15, that's, in effect, coming from us because that's how the railroad operates. It brings in a big train that's broken down to individual units. Some go to the propane guy right next door, have been for years; some go to the sugar operation, have been for years, and some would come to us and -- and have been for years in a smaller capacity and, once again, once we get an operating plan from a proposed tenant that's part of the operating plan. It's a big manual they have. Bring -- bring the manual and -- and it's proposed to this Board. They understand that but it's got go get approved by life safety, so you've got the Fire District and the fire involved, police department, everybody involved.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON REINA: So, Mr. Ford, I just want to be sensitive to the other questions.

MS. MILLER: Yeah.

CHAIRPERSON REINA: Does that address your question?

MS. MILLER: Yeah. But around that -so, to that point, I know you mentioned, a couple of
times, I think, tonight about you have a tenant who has
bottles that have been sitting for over a year. Would
you agree that, again, it's tenant-dependent and I did
a quick search on Google that says, in most industries,
warehouse turn would be four to six times per year, not
the goods -- not the goods --

MR. FORD: I'm not sure I understand.

MS. MILLER: If your goods are sitting for a year, it doesn't sound like you have a healthy business or a healthy tenant.

(Applause)

2.2

MS. QUINN: I'm going to also just ask you to be mindful, with no disrespect, but, just to be mindful, we have 14 more people --

MS. MILLER: Sure.

MS. QUINN: -- that are in line so I don't know if this is going to be something that will really be able to be answered or not but I just wanted to take quick break. You know, I want a little bit of a place of where we are. We're trying to give everybody the floor and we have 14 people left to

```
1
    speak.
 2
                  MS. MILLER: Okay.
 3
                  MS. QUINN: So, I mean, I mean no
 4
    disrespect --
                  MS. MILLER: Nope. That's fine.
 5
 6
                  Thank you.
7
                  MS. QUINN: -- but I don't want to run
8
    out of time but thank you.
 9
               (Applause)
                  MS. QUINN: Okay. Next up is Ron
10
11
    Peoples.
12
                  MR. COLLINS: Ron, please raise your
13
    right hand.
    RON PEOPLES, first having been duly sworn,
14
15
    testified as follows:
16
                  MR. COLLINS: Thank you, Mr. Peoples, and
    please state your name, spell your last name and give
17
18
    us your address.
                  MR. PEOPLES: Ron Peoples, P-E-O-P-L-E-S.
19
20
    I live in Fiddlers Hollow, 35 Fiddlers Way, Lafayette,
21
    New Jersey.
22
                  So I have comments and then a couple of
    questions.
23
24
                  CHAIRPERSON REINA: So if we can
25
    concentrate on any questions because, again, this is --
```

the purpose of this portion of it is --

2.2

MR. PEOPLES: The comments are directly tied in with the questions so it's -- it's setting the stage.

CHAIRPERSON REINA: As long as it's questions.

MR. PEOPLES: Absolutely.

So just -- just so you know, I -- I -you know, living in Fiddlers Hollow, I guess, one of
the concerns that, you know, I just want to state is
that it is getting to the point where traffic is
increasing and it is getting dangerous and the people
in Fiddlers Hollow, which is half Sparta and half
Lafayette, there're people now who are telling their
kids, including me, and telling my wife: There's no
way that you need to take a left out of Fiddlers Hollow
and go in to go towards Demarest to 15 because you
cannot get out, no way, at parts of the day. The
traffic is getting that bad. You cannot take a left
onto 15 going north. You cannot do it. It's -- it's a
safety hazard.

But my biggest concern is that the safety hazard coming north up 15 trying to take a left onto Demarest. Now, my concern is that you can't take a left because people will try and go around you. I tell

my wife: If you do this 1,000 times, you're going to get hit from behind once. All right? So we'd say, "Look. Go around, go to Lime Crest, go around, go to the light, at least you have a light where you get on which leads me to my question.

I hear that there's been -- you know, there's been, you know, traffic studies. Is -- is there a traffic study that has been done by Sparta or is Sparta just going to -- or are they going to conduct one or are they going to listen to someone else who has a vested economic interest --

(Applause)

MR. PEOPLES: -- and take their word for a traffic study?

And in addition to that, two parts, is there going to be a safety assessment? Because, since -- since the you know the grocery store has come in, there's been significant amount of additional traffic. I'm just seeing it now. It's getting to the point where there's got to be a safety assessment done, not just, you know, a traffic study. They're focused on safety aspects.

So I'll pause there for -- and those are answers -- those are questions to the Board.

CHAIRPERSON REINA: Thank you.

So, Mr. Peoples, let me address the -- I guess, the first question as it relates to the traffic study so, the question with regards to do we conduct a traffic study, it may very well be this Board requires a separate, independent traffic study.

(Applause)

2.2

Opportunity to listen to the traffic study. We have not heard the testimony from it. Again, I think there's a lot of questions, similar to the audience, that we've got as it relates to the traffic study and -- and if the Board feels as though there are missing pieces or it's not an adequate study, we will, in fact, issue or require a separate study.

(Applause)

MR. HERTZBERG: Can I add to that?

Because it's not just the Board. You know, we're put on here as representatives of the people to look at this stuff. We have our own traffic experts, trained traffic experts, to look at it. Just like Drew said, they'll go through the report -- somebody have a comment?

MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC: I do. I do.

MR. HERTZBERG: So -- so they'll --

they'll go through this and, like Drew said, if there

is inadequacies in the report, our experts will point that out and, if we require to look at it, we will.

MR. PEOPLES: Would the Town of Sparta consider an independent third party to come in and actually do the traffic study?

(Applause)

2.2

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$  HERTZBERG: I think we already answered that question.

CHAIRPERSON REINA: Yeah.

MR. PEOPLES: The answer is yes?

CHAIRPERSON REINA: Yeah. The answer is:

If we find that there's deficiencies and that we're not satisfied with the traffic study that was completed and we're not satisfied with the testimony, we do have the authority I believe --

Tom, correct me if I'm wrong.

-- to order or to request an independent traffic study.

MS. QUINN: I think this is separate and apart. If I'm understanding what you're saying, though, you're saying, right now, currently -- and believe me. I'm on Route 15 too, same thing. You know, I understand what you're saying. Right now, we can -- you know, you're saying that the traffic on Route 15 is problematic, it's dangerous and whatnot.

That has nothing to do with this. 1 2 MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC: Oh. Yes. 3 MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC: Oh. Yes. 4 does. 5 MS. QUINN: Just a minute, please. Right 6 now, today --7 MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC: Trucks coming in 8 there. 9 MS. QUINN: Hold on a minute. Right now, today, I'm hearing him say 10 11 that there are issues with Route 15 that are dangerous so what I'm saying here is that Route 15 is not -- so 12 13 not to defend or anything like that. If you have 14 concerns you absolutely should bring them forward 15 today. Don't wait for this application. Don't wait for anything else to get built. Talk to the state. 16 17 Talk to maybe Steve's office. Don't wait for more 18 stuff to happen. Your concern is right now. You know what I mean? 19 20 MR. PEOPLES: I do understand what you're 21 saying. 22 MS. QUINN: So I'm saying, you know --23 MR. PEOPLES: I guess -- I guess, just to expand on that, I -- I understand what you're saying. 24 25 I, 100 percent, agree.

The one, just, point of clarification is when you were talking about a traffic assessment or, you know, a safety assessment. I know that the Board, the town, can, all right, bring out, you know, hire a third party for a traffic assessment. I guess I'm asking: Will you? Given the number of people who have concerns about what's going on with traffic and things along those lines, will you?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

CHAIRPERSON REINA: Yeah. Mr. Peoples, again, I fully agree and understand what you're saying. I think you're asking if there's going to be an independent and if we have the authority do to it and my commitment to you and, I think, on behalf of the Board is: We need to get through the testimony. haven't heard any of the testimony around the traffic yet so it's -- it's impossible for us to say yes or no until we have an opportunity to go through, crossexamine and have that opportunity to go through the traffic report with, you know, with the defendant or with the -- with the applicant. It's impossible to answer so is your question: If -- if we're not satisfied with it, will we order one? Yes.

MR. PEOPLES: So just to make sure that I'm clear, I guess I'm not looking for if Sparta is satisfied with it. I think it's so important that,

when you're making a decision of this magnitude, it's not if I'm satisfied and I've read it and I've looked at it and I've had my experts look at it. I think it's, like, this is a no brainier to support the community. It's got to be done.

(Applause)

2.2

MR. PEOPLES: And so I want -- do you agree with that, that this so important that this shouldn't be an if or then or a potentially. It should be: Yes, we as the Board will support so the town needs to support it. That's what I'm looking for but -- I mean -- so...

(Applause)

MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC: That's it, Ron.

MS. QUINN: That's what he said. That's what's he just said.

MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC: He said if he's not satisfied. He didn't say yes. Just say yes; you'll get an independent study.

MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC: You have the report.

MS. QUINN: He said he has to hear the testimony.

MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC: It doesn't matter. You should still get one for us anyway.

MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC: The taxpayers want a study.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. PEOPLES: So I can see that that's not going to get answered; however, I do have another question.

CHAIRPERSON REINA: Well, I want to be clear so I have answered it several times so, if you're -- if you're asking me for a yes-and-no answer --

MR. PEOPLES: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON REINA: -- when we not received any -- we have not gone through any testimony so, Mr. Peoples, I understand what you are saying and I understand your frustration but also have to look at it in the context of these proceedings. This is not me, as an individual, saying, "Yes. I want a traffic study." This is the Board, after testimony, putting certain conditions and certain reviews upon -- after the evidence is presented so this is not me, as an individual, or anybody else on this Board saying yes or They all have their own independent. I can tell you my position and I'm -- I'm happy to have a conversation with you after this and share with you, you know, that piece of it but, at the end of the day, I will not give a yes -- I can't give you a yes-or-no answer because I have not heard any testimony yet.

MR. PEOPLES: Okay.

2.2

I guess the -- my follow-on question to that is: The roads that are going to be accessing this warehouse. Right now, the Redi-Mix, they come in through Lime Crest. They can't come in through Demarest, my understating is, because there's a sign, as soon as you take a left on to Demarest, that it's X -- X tonnage cannot go through which any 18-wheeler is going to exceed.

Are the only access points going to be

Lime Crest coming from both sides to this or are they

going leverage Demarest or change Demarest or anything

like that to -- or Wilson or whatever.

CHAIRPERSON REINA: So my understanding is the access points are Demarest, Route 15 -- I'm trying to think -- Houses Corner you have out there so you've got Lime Crest so if you're asking: Will we change Wilson Drive or maybe I'm not fully understanding the guestion?

MR. PEOPLES: Or Demarest. Are they going to be expanded or anything so that there's another way that those trucks can access this -- that building?

MS. QUINN: Wilson? No. 15 is.

MR. COLLINS: Why don't you let the

```
applicant answer the question?
1
 2
                  MR. GOUIN: Yeah, we can answer that.
 3
                  MR. COLLINS: Well, why don't you let
 4
    your engineer answer it?
 5
                  MR. GOUIN: Yeah. I will. I'm going to
 6
    let our engineer answer it. I'm going to put up our
 7
    Exhibit A-2 is and I'll bring up Tony Diggan to answer
8
    the question.
 9
                  Tony?
10
                  MR. COLLINS: Mr. Diggan, you are still
11
    under oath. Do you understand that?
12
                  MR. DIGGAN: I do.
13
                  So can you clarify the question?
14
    access points?
15
                  MR. FORD: Point of ingress, egress.
16
                  MR. DIGGAN: So the main points of
17
    ingress, egress, we are, obviously, off Demarest Road
18
    to Lime Crest to Route 15.
                  MR. PEOPLES: So Demarest would be
19
20
    leveraged to get trucks to the -- to this warehouse?
21
                  MR. DIGGAN: Demarest Road, absolutely,
22
    would have to be used to get trucks to this warehouse?
23
                  MR. FORD: Stop. I will answer
24
    the question.
25
                  MR. COLLINS: No, no. Mr. Diggan should
```

1 answer.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

MR. FORD: Okay. Fine.

MR. COLLINS: Mr. Diggan, though, Mr. Peoples is asking you: Will Demarest Road be used out to Route 15 by the residential development that he lives in or will it honor the same restriction that apparently applies to Redi-Mix for their concrete trucks and not use Demarest Road from Route 15 to the site along -- in front of Mr. Peoples' residential development that he described to you earlier so can you answer that? Is it -- is it -- are you going to be sending trucks to and from Demarest to Route 15 by Mr. Peoples' residence and his residential neighborhood. MR. DIGGAN: No, we will not. We will honor the patterns that are there today.

Thank you for the clarification.

MR. PEOPLES: Thank you.

And my final part of this question is directly across from the Sparta Shop Rite, if you go directly across it, there is an opening that looks like it's potentially built for a road. Do we have any knowledge? Does the Board have any knowledge or the applicant any knowledge that that is going to be developed to open up a road that goes between Lime Crest and Wilson to go directly to this location?

```
MR. FORD: Our application has nothing to
 1
 2
    do with it. That's not our property. We have no
 3
    intention of it being a form of ingress or egress to
 4
    our property.
 5
                  MR. DIGGAN: Thank you.
                   CHAIRPERSON REINA: There's -- there's no
 6
7
    pending application for any such...
 8
                  MR. PEOPLES: Thank you very much for
9
    your time. I appreciate it.
10
                   CHAIRPERSON REINA: Thank you, Mr.
11
    Peoples.
                  MS. QUINN: Next up is Kim Song. Kim
12
13
    Song?
14
                  MR. COLLINS: Kim please raise your right
15
    hand.
    K I M S O N G, first having been duly sworn,
16
17
    testified as follows:
18
                  MR. COLLINS: Kim, please state your name
19
    and address and spell your last name too.
20
                  MS. SONG: My name is Kim Song, S-O-N-G,
21
    like "sing a 'song.'" I live at 434 Houses Corner Road
22
    in Sparta.
23
                  At this point, I would like to give up my
    time to the Burns Family whose property borders the
24
25
    property we're talking about because they're paying an
```

attorney to be here so I'd like to give up my time to
their attorney.

CHAIRPERSON REINA: Is there a question?

MS. QUINN: This is public. This is public.

CHAIRPERSON REINA: There's no time that you need to -- to give, Mrs. Song.

MS. SONG: Actually, Mr. Cohen.

MR. SONG: But the Burns are part of the public and we are paying this man as a part of the public to help us.

MR. COLLINS: He already asked questions but Mr. Cohen will be allowed to ask questions but it will be at the next meeting and it will be after all the public has asked questions today and, if he's representing you, technically, he should ask the questions for you. I'm not going to make a big deal about that right now but, basically.

 $\label{eq:mr.cohen:} \mbox{$\mbox{$\mbox{I}$ indicated who I'm}$}$  representing.

MR. COLLINS: Yeah. I'm confused by -- I don't really -- I'm not bringing that up. If you don't have a question, you should sit down and the next person, who is not an attorney, should get up, per the list, and ask their question. Okay?

MS. SONG: Okay.

MR. COLLINS: We don't defer time to

people.

2.2

MS. SONG: I bought my house 25 years

ago --

CHAIRPERSON REINA: Ouestion?

MS. SONG: -- and I know that you just described where Houses Corner Road is across from Lime Crest and Route 15. I bought it because it was a nice little rural community. Since I moved there, the Shop Rite has been put in there, people now, because of the bottleneck we talk about on 15, don't come onto Route 15 because of the traffic from the Shop Rite. They go up West Mountain Road and the traffic has increased on my little rural road by 5 to 10 times between trucks and cars people coming over that road to the point where I can't stand out at my mailbox without fear of getting hit by a car or truck doing 70 miles an hour past my house.

So my question is: Is there going to be any consideration about speed limits in that entire area where all the cars and all the trucks are going to be going through? Because it's dangerous for all of us that live there. My house is, literally, 15 feet away from the road. I've already had to replace windshields

from rocks hitting my windshield in my driveway. I have a chip in my picture glass window in my kitchen.

I want to know if any of the speed limit changes are going change in that entire area for the safety of the residents?

CHAIRPERSON REINA: So I think your -your question is about the safety of the area. We need
to address that, specifically, with the safety officers
and the police department. That's not a Board
component.

I think, in terms of your --

MS. SONG: It doesn't have anything to do with approving the increased traffic?

CHAIRPERSON REINA: We don't approve the roads or we don't -- this Board does not mandate the speed limits so I'm not sure I can or anybody on this Board can address that.

MS. SONG: Okay. So we'll move on to the next question.

MR. HERTZBERG: You know, I'll just address that as a Council member. That is something that we could request our police department, our traffic expert on the police department. They do do traffic studies on particular roads if there's complaints from residents.

MS. SONG: Okay.

2.2

train and the traffic times?

MR. HERTZBERG: So, you know, for your particular road, if you're feeling that there are a lot of cars speeding through there, that it's increased, we could have them look at it and do a traffic study and assess that.

MS. SONG: Okay.

My next question is in response to a question that was asked earlier and I just want to follow through on it.

So you're saying, now, that the trains are going to be running only from 5:00 p.m. until 5:00 a.m. and that the trucks are going to be running during the day. Are the trucks going to be running from 8:00 in the morning to 5:00 p.m. or are they going to be running 24/7? Because, right now, in front of my house, I am awake at 4:00 in the morning and the trucks run until 8:30 at night, at least. Is there going to be any form of ruling on when these trucks can be running in that area? Any kind of ordinance or anything like that? But are they going to be 24/7?

CHAIRPERSON REINA: Yeah. I mean, I don't know if there's a condition that could be placed or, you know, if you're asking: How do we limit the

MS. SONG: So this is really in regards to the noise ordinance, for instance. I know there's certain decibels. I know there's certain times, there's certain times you can do construction. Certain times on Saturday, certain times on Sunday. You can't have your stereo blasting past 9:00 at night. There's noise ordinances. I can imagine, when they're taking these containers off of these trains, from 5:00 p.m. to 5:00 a.m., that I'm not going to hear that when they're taking containers off the trains because they already just knocked down thousands of trees across the street from my house --

MR. COLLINS: Mr. Ford --

Take a minute and let Mr. Ford answer the question which is: Will there be containers, boxcar container, railroad cars being unloaded between 5:00 p.m. and 5:00 a.m. or the nighttime hours that you said the trains are brought to the site?

MR. FORD: Well, it's not containers to be clear. First off, there's no lift on, lift off of containers. It's cars. It's railcars of unloading and that, as I said, could be food product, like corn syrup

MS. SONG: So they're opening doors and they are taking pallets out or --

MR. COLLINS: He never said that.

MR. SONG: I'm asking you a question.

MR. FORD: But -- no. The railcars are not unloaded overnight. They're switched in and out overnight. Meaning that the empty ones, once they're emptied are pulled out --

MS. SONG: Okay. Excuse my naiveness. A train comes in. It's got 30 or 40 cars on it. It's full of stuff.

CHAIRPERSON REINA: It 16.

MR. SONG: However many because I can't imagine that there's only going to be one train being unloaded at each one all day in a 12-hour span because you told us it takes eight hours to unload one of those which means you can get two of them in at different times.

But, anyway, so, now, I got this container. I happen to be -- work for a manufacturer. I get containers shipped to me. I know there's containers and then there's trains where you open the doors and you pull things out or the whole box comes off the train and gets put down.

MR. FORD: And we don't do that.

MR. SONG: Okay. I'm asking you what you

25 do do.

2.2

```
MR. FORD: We are not an intermodal-based
 1
 2
    rail operation. Intermodal is the lift-on trailer or
 3
    container. You're absolutely right. You understand
    your business. The lift-on, lift-off, that does not
 4
 5
    occur in this facility.
                  MS. SONG: Okay. So the doors open and
 6
7
    human beings go in and take pallets out and put them in
8
    the warehouse.
 9
                  MR. FORD: Right. There's a covered
    canopy system with an outdoor dock area --
10
11
                  MS. SONG: Uh-huh.
12
                  MR. FORD: -- okay, with a forklift, an
13
    electric forklift. They open the doors during the day
14
    of the railcar --
15
                  MS. SONG: Okay.
                  MR. FORD: -- those pallets or whatever
16
17
    goods are in there, could be paper, could be plastic,
18
    could be --
19
                  MS. SONG: Okay.
20
                  MR. FORD: -- bottles of water, could be
    beer. It could be food. It could be --
21
22
                  MR. SONG: Okay. We don't need to go
23
    there.
            I -- I want -- I want to utilize my time.
24
                  MR. COLLINS: Let's make sure he's clear.
25
    Let's make sure he's --
```

So what you're saying, Mr. Ford, is, the loading and unloading of the boxcar on the property will occur during the daytime hours, not during the nighttime hours. Is that what you're saying? That's what she wants to know.

MR. FORD: Yes.

MR. SONG: So you're saying that trains are going to arrive there from 5:00 p.m. to 5:00 a.m. and they're just going to sit there and nobody is doing anything with them?

MR. FORD: They're -- they're -- the physical cars just, you know, arrive in and out during those hours. That's the standing operating procedure for the NYS&W Railroad.

MS. SONG: I'm sorry. The attorney just said that they're just going to sit there and that nothing happens with them. They're not going to be emptied, unloaded and loaded?

MR. FORD: Until -- until the operations manager and the employees for the warehouse get there the next morning, turn the lights on, open the operation.

MS. SONG: Okay. So at 2:00 in the morning? 3:00 in the morning? 4:00 in the morning?

MR. COLLINS: No.

1 CHAIRPERSON REINA: So, no. I think what 2 I heard you say -- correct me, Mr. Ford, if I'm wrong 3 -- there will be not operations for loading or unloading the railcars between those hours. 4 MR. FORD: The cars are moved in and out 5 6 from 5:00 p.m. to 5:00 a.m. The unloading -- the 7 unloading of them occurs at the same time that a 8 trailer on the other side would be loaded or unloaded which is, typically, day hours. 9 10 MS. SONG: So there will be activity. 11 MR. MURPHY: May I add that you also identified that these will be electric forklifts --12 13 MR. FORD: Yes. 14 MR. MURPHY: -- throughout the whole 15 complex which will cut down on the noise. MR. FORD: Yes. We don't use propane. 16 17 We use batteries. We -- we -- we've installed -- as 18 part of our LEED process, we installed battery charging stations for the electric forklifts. 19 20 CHAIRPERSON REINA: Thank you, Mr. Ford. 21 Thank you, Mrs. Song. 2.2 MS. SONG: Okay. I've -- I've got a 23 couple more real quick ones. 24 CHAIRPERSON REINA: I think we've got

about five minutes left. I want to get an opportunity

155 to, at least, hear from one other --1 2 MS. SONG: I know. I've got a couple 3 real quick ones. 4 One, just so you all know, that truck sitting at the side of the road sleeping is a real 5 thing. We had to call the police. There was two 18-6 7 wheelers parked on our road. 8 CHAIRPERSON REINA: Ma'am, please. Ιf there's specific questions --9 10 MS. SONG: You said that you were going 11 to use this, possibly, as a 3PL. I know what a 3PL is because I do this as a profession. 12 13 MR. FORD: Sure. 14 MS. SONG: A 3PL does sell retail. 15 wholesaler sends it to your warehouse, they package it and they send it to the consumer. Your warehouse 16 17 cannot be used as a 3PL. 18 MR. FORD: Well, then my definition is --19 is different than 3PL to yours but it's a third-party 20 logistics company. 21 MS. SONG: I know what third-party 22 logistics is. I do it for a living. 23 MR. FORD: But, once again --

MS. SONG:

So I take stuff out of my --

MR. FORD: I have not had to say it

24

because the Board has told you: This is not a retail operation.

MR. SONG: Right. But I take products out of my vendor and I put them in the 3PL and then I ship them directly to the customer. That's the same as UPS, FedEx, Amazon, Walmart, etc., etc.

MR. FORD: There's no retail.

MS. SONG: That's selling retail.

MR. FORD: I don't --

 $\label{eq:chairperson} \mbox{\sc Retail is not allowed}$  in the facility.

MS. SONG: So I'm confirming that this building will not be a 3PL; it will not be a third-party logistics location.

MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC: What's the difference?

 $\label{eq:chairperson} \mbox{CHAIRPERSON REINA:} \quad \mbox{I'm not sure I} \\ \mbox{understand the question.}$ 

MS. SONG: Okay. So a "3PL" is a thirdparty logistics so I'm a vender. I'm a vendor and I

make something here. I ship it into my third-party

warehouse by the container load, by the pallet load and
then I send them orders and they open those orders and
they ship one to Mr. Smith, one to Mr. Jones, one
across the street.

CHAIRPERSON REINA: So I think that's 1 2 retail which we designated is not a permitted use. 3 MS. SONG: He said before; it's possible that this would be a 3PL. That's why I'm asking the 4 5 question. CHAIRPERSON REINA: So just to be clear, 6 7 we've identified that retail is not a permitted use. 8 MR. SONG: Okay. So I'm -- I just want -- because that's not what he said before. It could be 9 10 a 3PL so I just want to clarify that. 11 MR. FORD: 3PL, in my definition of 12 "3PL," is a third-party logistics firm who operates a 13 warehouse. That's a 3PL in my definition. 14 MS. SONG: Okay. One more: What are we 15 going to do about the displacement of all the animals? Since the Shop Rite has gone in, I have more rodents, I 16 17 have more bear, I have more deer and, if he's going to 18 store food in that warehouse, what are we going to do 19 about rats, insects and all of the displacement of the 20 natural animals and all the ones that are going to come 21 to eat the garbage? 2.2 (Applause) 23 MR. COLLINS: Mr. Ford should answer.

MR. GOUIN: Well, I -- I -- I don't know

25 -

Could you answer that question?

I was going to say I don't know that that's a question for Mr. Ford but we do have an environment expert who can potentially answer that. He hasn't been sworn in and has not testified but we can certainly add that to the testimony we'll provide.

MR. SYLVESTER: Can you ask him to comment on that in his testimony?

MR. GOUIN: Yeah. Well, yeah. We're going -- we're going to swear him in at a future meeting. He can comment on that.

MR. SYLVESTER: Thank you.

MR. GOUIN: Sure.

MS. QUINN: Next one up is Kate Salerna.

Kate Salerna?

16 MEMBER OF THE PUBLIC: She's here.

K A T E S A L E R N A, first having been duly sworn,
testified as follows:

MR. COLLINS: Please state your name and spell your last name and give us your address.

MS. SALERNA: Kate Salerna, S-A-L-E-R-N-A, 236 Sparta Avenue.

My first quick question is: I just want some clarification, if nobody can answer this, if there were any violations of the Open Meetings Sunshine Act

with the overspill outside of the chamber and the fact 1 2 that not everybody was able to be present for the meeting? Can anybody answer that question? 3 4 MR. COLLINS: Yes. There were no 5 violations of the Open Public Meetings Act. Everybody can try to come into the meeting room or the vestibule 6 7 and the -- the meeting is open to the public so --8 MS. SALERNA: So the fact that there were too many people to be able to fit into the chambers and 9 10 11 MR. COLLINS: There was no objection. There was no objection by anyone to being unable to get 12 13 in the way they wanted to. 14 MS. SALERNA: Into the room or into the 15 building? 16 Into the building. MR. COLLINS: 17 MS. SALERNA: So that -- so they don't 18 need -- they don't need to be into -- in the room; they could be --19 20 MR. COLLINS: The vestibule has the video 21 cameras and the audio and is compliant with the Open 22 Public Meetings Act. 23 MS. SALERNA: Okay. 24 Second question, real quick, is about the 25 aquifer which I'm -- I'm assuming just have -- like, I

just heard you have the environment experts coming in but yeah.

I just want it to be on the record in case there is anybody listening or paying attention out there that the aquifer is serving 40 percent of our community right now. The building that happened for the Shop Rite and the housing, obviously, is already putting a strain on that.

And I would like clarification over the fact that the warehouse project itself has a capacity for 400 employees potentially but your waste, you know, management plan is set up for 2,000. I know that this is going to fall under the category of all the myriad of questions that you can't answer right now because you have no idea who is going to be occupying this giant building that's going to be an eyesore in our community and regardless of anybody who's going to be in there.

CHAIRPERSON REINA: Mrs. Salerna, I'd ask you if you'd please stop just for a moment. I -- I respect everything that you're trying to address in this and I know you've got a short amount of time to -- MS. SALERNA: Yeah. Clearly.

CHAIRPERSON REINA: I mean, I understand that, you know, some of the questions are specifically

related to the environmental component and we haven't heard that testimony.

MS. SALERNA: Yeah. So just, if my question is on the record so that that could be answered, what is the plan for that should the tenant exceed the 100-employee capacity limit and assuming that, you know, it's going to come to the Board, what are the restrictions? Like, what -- what are the guarantees that this won't just be approved should the 100-capacity limit be breeched and, also, what is the plan to deal with that when that happens? So you don't have to answer that now because, blah, blah, blah, and more testimony, all of that.

But my last question is about -- which has been asked several times over and I think the community would really like to get any sort of clarification on this -- some specifics as to what the actual, tangible benefits are to the community at this point considering we don't know any --

(Applause)

CHAIRPERSON REINA: So another great question and I think, in terms of the tangible benefits, it's what every single one of us are weighing. What's the cost benefit of this -- of this project to the town? How do we look at that? Again,

and I don't mean this to be a repetitive answer but we have not heard testimony yet in terms of all the benefits and what this entire project entails. I think, with you, very similarly --

MS. SALERNA: Yeah. Just one would be nice.

CHAIRPERSON REINA: So you -- you've heard one from them this evening in terms of what it is from a ratable perspective or what expectation is so, again, this is not -- this is not an answer for the Board in terms of approval or denial for a condition on the property but I would tell you, with regards to: something a benefit or, you know, what's the cost or benefit? That's the whole part of these proceedings, is to get through and understand: What is the cost benefit? What's the cost benefit? You know, what's the impact on our citizens every day, those that live near it? What's the impact for the town? What's our ability for the Planning Board or for -- for the, you know --

MS. SALERNA: And will the applicants be responsible should we need -- have a need for emergency services for building roads or things that become a burden to the taxpayers as opposed to ratables to them?

(Applause)

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

CHAIRPERSON REINA: Everything -
everything you're describing is -- is -- needs to be

looked at.

MS. SALERNA: And answered, hopefully.

CHAIRPERSON REINA: Again, that's why

CHAIRPERSON REINA: Again, that's why we have this entire process in place is to go through and actually address all these questions and make sure that we've got all questions answered before there is any sort of discussion or approval from the Board.

MS. SALERNA: I look forward to getting those answers, please.

Thank you.

(Applause)

2.2

CHAIRPERSON REINA: Me too.

Yeah. So I think what we'll do is -- so we've surpassed our time now. It's now 5 after 10:00. I think we have, approximately -- how many?

MS. QUINN: Twelve.

CHAIRPERSON REINA: All right. So we've got twelve individuals that -- what I'd like to do is, at our next meeting, continue this. This is going to be a continuation so our next meeting is going to be continued on May 4th. At which time, what we'd like to do is, now that we've been through Mr. Ford's testimony, we'd like to then proceed to the traffic

portion.

MR. GOUIN: Well --

CHAIRPERSON REINA: So what we'd like to do is move this forward. Similarly to this proceeding, we will open up components for the public. We'll allot an hour for everybody to be heard. We'll start at the top of this list.

And I just want everybody else to recognize that, again, at -- at the end of these, of the witness testimony, this will be fully back up and opened up for all of the public so this is not a closed system. This is not your only chance to ask questions but we're -- we're doing this out of precedent and kind of unprecedented to make sure that, you know, we can try to address questions as they come up and, at the end of the proceedings, we will, obviously, continue to allow everybody to have a voice, comments, opinion, anything else that has not been addressed or anything that has not been answered during the course of these proceedings.

MR. COHEN: Mr. Chairman, may I ask a question?

 $$\operatorname{MS.}$  QUINN: Also, there's a gentleman in the back.

Lou? Lou? Lou? The gentleman next to

you, I need his last name, please.

2.2

Last name?

MR. HERTEL: H-E-R-T-E-L.

MS. QUINN: Okay. So just to be clear, I have the list for the next time and there are twelve people on it. I now have the last remaining last name that I needed to complete the list. I'll keep it for next time.

MR. COHEN: Mr. Chairman, I have a question that will take about one minute.

MR. KOLLAR: We are already 8 minutes over. Some of us work in the morning and I'm already done. I'm already toast.

CHAIRPERSON REINA: Go ahead. We'll wrap up.

MR. COHEN: The issue about the traffic consultant for the Board was asked and you said you're going to wait for the traffic testimony. Remember that the discussion we had at the beginning of this meeting was that there's a certain amount of the time. Unless the applicant grants extensions, the Board is not going to have an opportunity to hire its own traffic consultant if it deems it necessary and you don't get a traffic report overnight. It takes time, obviously, so I just ask the Board to consider, if they are planning

to retain other experts at the expense of the applicant pursuant to their escrow, they should do that sooner than later. Thank you. (Applause) MR. SYLVESTER: Thank you. Mr. Chairman, I'd like to make a motion to adjourn tonight's meeting. MR. COLLINS: And the matter will be carried without additional notices to 7:00 p.m. on March -- what is the date? I'm sorry. It's May 4th at 7:00 p.m. at this meeting hall. (The hearing concluded at 10:08 p.m.) <u>CERTIFICATE</u>

I, ALISON GULINO, a Certified Court Reporter,
Registered Professional Reporter and Notary Public of
the State of New Jersey, do hereby state that the
foregoing is a true and accurate verbatim transcript of
my stenographic notes of the within proceedings, to the
best of my ability.

ALISON GULINO, CCR, RPR NOTARY PUBLIC No. 2415679 LICENSE No. 30X100235500